	А	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K L	М	N
		-	-	_	_	·		.,		For/Again			
				Date						st			
				Receive	Comme		Pg.	Add'tional	Categorey of	Proposed			
	1	Name	Affliation	d	nt Code	Summary Main Comments	#	Comments	Comment	Decision	Against For	Unclear	
						·			General-need to				
						· Very concerned about Oregon's environment and waterways but proposed			improve water				
	,				1-A	decision doesn't make sense.	1		quality				
	_								General-made				
						· Oregon has met almost all of requirements and water quality/habitats have been			improvements in				
					1-B	improving for past 15 yrs.	1		water quality				
	<u>-</u>				1-15	Proposed decision would harm effective programs that support strong water	1	_	Penalities-negative				
	,	(b) (6)	citizen	12/19/13	1-C	quality/watershed programs in state and jeopardizes \$4M in federal funding.	1		impacts	Against	1		
	+		Citizeii	12/19/13	1-C	quanty/watersned programs in state and jeopardizes \$410 in rederal funding.	1		impacts	Against	1		
									Manitanina				
						OD logislatura is abatmating1			Monitoring-				
						• OR legislature is obstructing salmon recovery progress and prevents state			improvements				
	_					agencies from monitoring WQ necessary to support CZARA NPS water quality	1	T 1 1 1	needed; Salmon-				
\vdash		-			2-A	achievement goals.	1	Included two	need more protection				
	_					· Need to include toxic contamination impairment assessment for NPScan't be		attachments	T				
	5					done under current political climate.	1	related to	Toxics/Pesticides				
		(b) (6)		10/00/10	• •	Disapproval will hopefully help improve situation in OR and break up political		toxic/metal	Decision-benefit;				
	7		citizen	12/20/13	2-B	log-jam so toxics can be addressed appropriately.	1	comtamination	Toxics/Pesticides	Unclear		1	
						· Concerned about 2007 overspray on his property and wants us to consider toxic		Includes link to					
	3				3-A	effects.		similar story on	Forestry-pesticides				
		(b) (6)				· Notes wildlife and fish just starting to come back. Recent testing of old domestic		overspray in Curry					
	9	(b) (0)	citizen	12/20/13	3-B	water supply still shows residual effects.		County.	Forestry-pesticides	Unclear		1	
						· Very pleased when heard about proposed decision and pressure we're applying to							
_1	.0				4-A	Oregon to uphold its responsibilites.	1		Decision-benefit				
1	1				4-B	· Glad fed regulators are recognizing harm logging is doing to water quality	1		Forestry-general				
		(1.) (0)				· Oregon needs to prioritize clean water (even for smallest streams) and guard			Forestry-riparian;				
_1	2	(b) (6)	citizen	12/20/13	4-C	against human-made landslides.	1		landslides; pesticides	For		1	
						· "Every dollar taken out of this program will decrease this program by that			Penalities-negative				
1	.3				5-A	amount."	1	Comments are	impacts				
								verbatum. No	General-made				
						· "Most coastal streams are running in their natural state and need no assistance.		further comments	improvements to				
1	4	(b) (6)	citizen	12/21/13	5-B	No farming and no more logging."	1	provided.	water quality	Against	1		
1	.5	(b) (6)	citizen	12/21/13	6-A	· I concur with the State of Oregon (can provide details if asked).	1		General	Against	1		
						· Has witnessed significant changes (improvements) in forest practices since		Additional off-		-			
	.6				7-A	1960s.	1	topic comments	Forestry-general				
_	7	1			7-B	· Proposal to remove abandonned forest rds is foolishmany are stable.		related to	Forestry-roads				
F		1				· Watershed mngt on grand scheme is better approach due to limited funding to		Spotted/Barred	<u> </u>				
1	.8	(b) (6)	citizen	12/22/13	7-C	address problem and establish priorities.	1	owl.	Forestry-general	Unclear		1	
F		(b) (6)	citizen	12/22/13	8-A	K			General-need to				
				12, 22, 13		· Recognizes there are water quality issues from ag, logging and other sources			improve water				
	9					(kayaked amidst cow patties in OR central coast) but state is making progress.	1		quality				
F					8-B	Reducing funding for programs that will help OR tackle wq issues is not the	1		Penalities-negative				
_	0				U-0	answer.	1		impacts				
	U				<u> </u>	uno w Ci.	1		ппрасіз				

	Δ	l R	Τ		F		Э Н	T T	1	K	1 1	М	N
	A	В			8-C	· Agrees with Oregonian editorial that applying one-size-fits all approach doesn't	J 11	1	J	K	L	IVI	IN
					0-0	work. A tailored approach is neededone that is underway but just needs more \$		General-one-size-fits					
21							1	all	Against	1			
21					9-A	to support. • Supports proposed decision.	1	Decision	Agamst	1			
22		_			9-A		1	Forestry-landslides,					
22		(b) (6)			0 D	For too long, has been concerned about landslides, siltation, and clearcuts from	1	•					
23		(b) (6)	_:4:	10/05/12	9-B	forestry and Clad fed. Cover's is taking action to w/hold funding	1	riparian, clear cuts Penalities	F		1		
24		-	citizen	12/25/13	9-C	· Glad fed. Govn't is taking action to w/hold funding.	1		For		1		
								Penalities; General-					
					11 4	Oregan should be penalized. Citizens in Oregon do not have healthy, sustainable	1	need to improve					
25		-			11-A	old-growth forests, and non-polluted streams.	1	water quality					
0.6					11 D	• There is no stormwater mngt for new development and aging/leaking septic	1	Navy dayah OCDC					
26		-	.,.	10/20/12	11-B	systems aren't being fixed.	1	New devel; OSDS	C		1		
27		-	citizen	12/30/13	11-C	· Need to stop runoff from past logging roads.	(b) (6)	Forestry-roads	for		1		
			citizen	1/5/14	10-A	NOAA/EDA: 1 11: 4 4 1:1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		General-holding to					
						NOAA/EPA is holding state to higher standard based on what we've approved		higher standard					
						for other states (e.g. CA). Either need to approve OR or go back and disapprove	1						
28		-			10 D	other states. Keeping on raising threshold for OR is unfair and costly for state.	1	C1.1					
					10-B	· Holding states responsible for all CZARA requirements isn't rightsome, like	1	General-problems					
29		-			10.0	OSDS, are outside state's jurisdiction.	1	with CZARA					
					10-C	T 1 C		General-water					
						• Too much focus on water quality improvements. Given population/development	1	quality					
30		-			10 D	increase, even maintaining water quality levels at 1990 levels is a success.	1	C 1 11					
					10-D	• The CWA has demonstrated that its needed revisions over the years as evidenced		General-problems					
						by prior amendents and recommends now is another time to address problems	1	with CZARA					
31		-			10 E	with CZARA.	1	C1: f:					
					10-E	· CWA recognizes there isn't a one-size-fits-all response to addressing NPS. As		General-one-size-fits					
20						such, absurb to place arbitary and capricious temporal and jurisdictional	1	all	A •	1			
32		-				standards on a state.	A 11'4' 1 . CC	F	Against	1			
2.2					10 4	· Anti-clear cutting (doesn't believe it can be done sustainably); pro sustainable	Additional off-	Forestry-clear	T I1				
33		-	_:4:	1 /7 /1 /		forestry.	topic comments	cutting	Unclear			1	
34		-	citizen	1/7/14	12-B	 Supports regular maintenace of septic systems. Agrees with proposed decision to disapprove OR's program. 	1 related to general	OSDS Decision				1	
35		-	citizen	1/16/14	13-A	· Agrees with proposed decision to disapprove OK's program.	1						
					13-B	Comments of 2 have a series of 2		New Devel; OSDS;					
						• Supportive of 3 key areas where Oregon hasn't met program requirements		Forestry-riparian;					
3.0						(forestryall elements, OSDS, and new devel) and asks us to continue to work with OR to address those issues.	1	landslides; pesticides; roads					
36					12 C	Notes NPS impacts from Ag must also be addressed.	1	Ag-General	For		1		
37			+		13-C	Notes NPS impacts from Ag must also be addressed. Disagrees with proposed decision	1	Decision	1.01		1		
38		-			14-A	0 1 1	1	General-made					
						• Through experience on watershed assoc and previous position in USFS, believes state and OWEB, SWCDs, watershed groups are doing (and have done) a lot to							
30					11 D		1	improvements in					
39					14-B	improve wq Loosing \$4M in fodoral funding that supports watershed work will be like	1	water quality					
40					14.0	· Loosing \$4M in federal funding that supports watershed work will be like "throwing the baby out with the bath water."	1	Penalities-negative					
40					14-C		C1 '44 - 1	impacts					
						ODF is working to strengthen forest rules for riparian protection but face	Sumbitted Diamond Banant	Forester eigenien					
						political challenges that require thoughtful science to bring along. Maintaining	Biannual Report	Forestry-riparian;					
41			oitian.	1/21/14	14 D	support of forest industry is important for water quality protection and will take	for Coquille	General-need more	Against	1			
41			citizen	1/31/14	14-D	longer than Spring 2014.	2 Watershed Assoc.	time	Against	1			
42					15-A	· Agrees with proposed decision to disapprove OR's program.	1	Decision					

Г	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
	,,			J		Not clear why public comment is required on the NOAA-F and EPA's (Agencies)			-	<u> </u>	, ,		141	
						analysis as long as their justification or statement of intent to approve or								
						disapprove the program (Proposal) is based solely on pre-established criteria and								
						valid scientific grounds. Overall, I find this to be the case, and further that the			General-public					
						technical analysis in the Proposal is generally robust with respect to the issues it			comment; General-					
1					15-B	examines	1		support rationales					
F	,	_			13-1	There are no meaningful regulatory assurances in OR's CNP to protect water	1		General-fails to meet					
1	1				15-C	quality and designated uses.	1		wqs/uses					
4	+	_			13-0	Voluntary measures/promises won't work; clearly enforceable measures,	1		wqs/uscs					
						regulatory linkages and management controls are needed. CZARA specifically								
						requires coastal states to have enforceable controls on nonpoint sources of			General-voluntary					
١,	_				15 D		1		-					
4)				15-D	pollution in order to continue to receive federal grant funding.	1		approaches					
									General-salmon;					
	_				15 5	· Salmon habitat and continued federal species listings show that the salmon			General-fails to meet					
4	5	-			15-E	resource(s) in Oregon have been and continue to be declining	2		wqs/uses					
						NOAA/EPA need to include in future rationales and consider when evaluting								
						future state submissions: interconnected habitat and water quality factors and								
						legacy issues, beaver management, watershed and riparian factors influencing								
						water quality, novel human chemical contaminants, over-allocation of water,								
						urban runoff from older as well as newer developments, and little consideration								
						given to the importance of maintaining groundwater flow connection(s), and			General-need to					
4	7				15-F	climate changes	2		consider other issues					
						Overall NOAA/EPA analyses are correct. There are several major areas of the								
						coastal NPSPC program are in need of significant improvement and/or additional								
						management measures. Some of the areas identified are: measures for forestry,								
						new urban development, and septic/sewer systems (note: the Agencies should								
						broaden the latter to include measures to improve nonpoint source treatment and								
						control of stormwater, urban surface, and road related runoff; similarly the			OSDS; New Devel;					
						Agencies should include both new and older urban development and			Forestry; General-					
						infrastructure)			need to consider					
4	3				15-G		2		other uses					
						· ODA's poor past and ongoing efforts at regulating agricultural and livestock								
						practices that harm salmon and other biota are not acknowledged in analyses.								
						Missing (suggested additional) measures to adequately protect water quality								
						include: 1) minimum required riparian buffers on commercial agricultural lands								
						(Note: the published literature suggests a buffer width of no less 100 feet, or 30								
						meters. Buffers wider than 100' might be necessary on low gradient channels that								
						might meander, and adjacent to designated critical habitats for listed species, for								
						example core salmonid spawning and rearing areas); 2) fencing streams and								
						riparian areas to reduce or eliminate trailing, trampling and fecal contamination by								
						livestock; 3) improved permitting, monitoring and relocation of CAFOs, and 4)								
						regulatory provisions (with or without incentives) to promote reestablishment of								
						riparian vegetation in critical habitats and to promote beaver reintroduction in								
4	9				15-H	suitable locations.	5		Ag-add MMs					
F		-			15 11	Need to consider novel chemicals (Rx drugs, BC pills, pain medications and			General-need to					
5)				15-I	caffeine) impacting wq.	3		consider other uses					
	,	1			1,3-1	Need to consider over allocation of water/withdraws and impacts less water has			General-need to					
F	1				15-J	on increasing pollutant loads, etc.	6		consider other uses					
5	L				1.J-J	on mercasing ponutant toaus, etc.	U		constact other uses					

	Α	В		D	F	F G	Н	l i	<u> </u>	К	<u> </u>	М	N
	,,	<u> </u>			_	Need to consider the role of beaver and beaver dams in moderating flows and		1	,	K		141	
						improving water quality in the broadest sense(s) should be included and							1
						examined. Measures							1
						should be included to cease or scale back beaver eradication efforts, and also to		General-need to					1
52					15-K	facilitate and promote beaver re-establishment in suitable locations	4	consider other uses					1
						FPA rules are outdated and need to be revised. In 1996 NMFS has stated key	=		-				1
						problems with rules and improvements. Most of these issues were affirmed by							1
53					15-L	independent scientific panel.	5	Forestry-general					1
						Need to consider climate change. Climate stressed organisms can be more		General-need to	-				
54		(b) (6)	citizen	2/20/14	15-M	sensitive to pollution.	6	consider other uses	For		1		1
								General-voluntary					1
55					16-A	· Watershed council does good work.	1	approaches					l
						· Agree that there should be some sort of penality to motivate the state to comply							1
						with CZARA, but penalities, as structured, would hurt watershed councils and							1
			Lower			others on-the ground that are doing the good work and need federal/state funding		Penalities-negative					1
56		(b) (6)	Nehalem		16-B	to continue.	1	impacts	Against				
			Watershed			· Need to taylor puntative impacts to only effect those that should be (not others			(penalities				
57			Council	2/26/14	16-D	such as watershed councils)	2	Penalities)			1	<u> </u>
						· Strongly support disapproval to wake up OR govn't to reality of not protecting		Decision; Penalities-					1
58					17-A	the environment.	1	benefits					i
						Septic tanks at OR state parks and other locations are discharging sewage to							1
59						waterways.	1	OSDS					1
						· Towns of Myrtle Pt and Powers release sewage to Coquille when rains and can't							1
60					17-B	harvest shellfish.	1	OSDS					
						Concerned about superfund contamination impacting shellfish harvest and that							i
61				3/4/14	17-C	DEQ is not enforcing needed actions.	2	Toxics/Superfund					1
				(w/				General-need to					1
62			Clamdigger		17-D	Should have invertebrate species plan in place.	2	consider other uses	-				
			s Assoc. of	-		Funding Oregon CZMA should be contingent on having Invertebrate Species Plan		General-need to					1
63			Oregon	3/6)	21-A	in place for fresh and saltwaters	1	consider other uses	For		1		
						Funding Oregon CZMA should be contingent on having Invertebrate Species							
						Plan in place that includes improved harvest regulations for shellfish, sewage spill							
					46.	hotline, shellfish monitoring, and ensures contaminants are not raised above		General-need to					l
64					18-A	normal baseline levels.	<u> </u>	consider other uses	-				
						Concerned about sewage discharges and well as poor forestry pratices (discharge		Oaba E					
					10.5	of bark dust/debris into bay) that have caused clam die-offs and made them		OSDS; Forestry-					
65					18-B	unharvestable. Sites specific examples.	9 Includes many	General	-				
					10.0	Also concerned that state/EPA do not properly warn people not to eat shellfish	examples of blogs	OCDC, To its					
66					18-C	due to baterica/toxics.	posts and letters	OSDS; Toxics	-				
			Clamdigger			thro	2						
			s Assoc. of		10 D	Organization has tried to speak with ODFW and ODFW Commission leadership ugh	to various state	Forester C1	E		4		l
67			Oregon	3/5/14	18-D	but claims offers to meet/hear their recommendations were not acted on. Overtor former in Tillemock Pay	agencies.	Forestry-General	For		1		
68			1		19-A	Oyster farmer in Tillamook Bay Supports disapproval because OP descrit have MMs or additional MMs in place	1		-				
60					19-B	• Supports disapproval because OR doesn't have MMs or additional MMs in place to achieve/maintain WQS.	1	Decision					
69					19-D		1	Decision	-				
70					19-C	· Cites specific examples of Tillamook Bay beging close to shellfish harvest for 100 days/yr due to ag runoff.	1	Ag Ganaral					l
70				1	19 - C	100 days/yr due to ag runorr.	1	Ag-General					

	Ι Δ	D	<u> </u>	П	г		6	Н	T T	1	V		N.4	N
	A	В	C	D	E	There has never been meaningful oversight of Tillamook Dairy Mngt Industry.	G	Н	1	J	K	L	М	IN IN
71					19-D	Voluntary measures aren't working.	1		Ag-General					
/1		(b) (6)			17-D	Voluntary measures aren't working.	1		General-water					
70		, , , ,	oitizan	2/28/14	19-E	Despite many investments in studies from NEP, still a wq problem.	1		quality	For		1		
/2		_	citizen	2/20/14	19-L		1		General-water	гог		1		
7.0					20-A	· OR streams are among the cleanest in nation and provide suitable water for	1							
73					20-A	aquaculture.	1		quality					
l					20 D	Additional riparian setbacks would only hurt logging industry and drive up price	1		E					
74					20-B	of lumber.	1		Forestry-riparian					
75					20-C	· Coos County has more forestry than any part of Oregon and more salmon.	1		Forestry-general					
									Forestry-General;					
									General-made					
				4 10 14 4	20.5				improvements to					
76			citizen	1/8/14	20-D	Watershed councils are doing good work and we don't need additional regulation.	1		water quality	Against	1			
77					22-A	· Support disapprovalmay be only effective way to get action in state.	1		Decision-benefit					
						· Oregon doesn't have practices in place to protect streams from polluted runoff.			General-fails to meet					
78					22-B	Although state still claimins programs are effective	1		wqs/uses					
						· Federal/state govn't have responsibility to manage waters in the public trust for			General-fails to meet					
79					22-C	max. long-term benefit for current/future generations. This is not being done.	1		wqs/uses					
									General-water					
						· TMDLs show that existing programs are not working (high water temps,			quality; General-fails					
80					22-D	sediment loads and nutrients).	1		to meet wqs/uses					
						· Many states have stronger NPS controls for forest practices. OR is frequently								
81			citizen	3/8/14	22-E	judged as the weakest along the west coast. Its time for them to change.	2		Forestry-General	For		1		
						Supports proposed decision (on all counts)4 forestry concerns, osds and new								
82					23-A	devel.	2		Decision			1		
83			citizen	3/14/14	23-B	· Also necessary for state to include ag MM necessary for achieving WQS.	2		Ag-add MMs	For				
84					24-A	· Supports disapproval decision.	1		Decision			1		
						· Commentor is fisherman that as witnessed OR's inability to protect fish-bearing			Forestry-general;					
85					24-B	streams from forestry runoff (logging and rd building).	1		Forestry-roads					
						· BOF/ODF have had proposals to improve stream protection come before than								
86					24-C	but to date, have failed to take action.	1		Forestry-riparian					
87			citizen	3/14/14	24-D	· DEQ has also failed to take action to respond to forestry issues too.	1		Forestry-General	For			-	
									Decision; General-					
									fails to meet					
						· Agrees OR has not met conditions and needs to do more to protect coastal wq			wqs/uses; Penalities-					
88					25-A	but imposing penalities on czm and 319 is wrong.	1		negative impacts				1	
	1													
						· CZM doesn't have authority over remaining conditions yet they stand to loose								
						1/3 of their federal funding. CZM program does a lot of good to support local			Penalities-negative					
89					25-B	communities. Local assist and other important parts of program would be haulted.	1		impacts					
									General-need to					
						· State legislature is one that needed to take action but has not; rather they have			improve water					
90					25-C	obstructed ODEQ's ability to make the changes the agency wanted to.	1		quality					
70						The state of the s			Penalities-negative					
Q1					25-D	· CZM has done excellent work for past 40 yrs and shouldn't be undercut now.	1		impacts					
91	<u> </u>				25-17	Can't has done excellent work for past to jis and shouldn't be undereut now.	1		Impacts					

Δ	R	C	D	F	F	G	Н І	1	K	1	М	N
	ט		U	E	Encourage NOAA/EPA to continue to work with OR to improve CNP but should	J	Penalities-negative	,	IX	L	IVI	IN
92				25-E	not impose penalities.	1	impacts					
32				23 L	Penalties will be counterproductive because it will cripple the work of local		Impacts	For (but				
	(b) (6)				governments and the OCMP without achieving the measures that NOAA and EPA		(b) (6) Penalities-negative	,				
		aitiaan	3/14/14	25-F	seek.	1	T charters hegative	no manalitias)				
93	-	citizen	3/14/14	23-1	SCCK.	1	impacts	penalities)				
							General-need to					
				26.4		1	improve water			1		
94		•.•	0/14/14	26-A	Fisherman and no doubt that polluted runoff is an issue.	1	quality			1		
95	-	citizen	3/14/14	26-B	Supports Tom Davis' opions and supports disapproval decision.	1	Decision	For			-	
96				27-A	· No one has authority for small lot foresters.	1	Forestry-General	_			1	
					There is no program that monitors private forestland clear-cuts, or spray and burn		Forestry-clear cuts;					
97				27-B	operations	1	Forestry-pesticides					
					· Need preventive measures to assure that forestry operations near Clear Lake							
					won't make water undrinkable (get drinking water from lake and has observed							
98		citizen	3/18/14	27-C	small-lot foresters airial and hand spraying pesticides/herbicides near lake.	1	Forestry-pesticides	No opinion				
99				28-A	· Supports disapproval	1	Decision					
							Forestry-riparian;					
					· Very narrow or non-existent buffers along streams that flow into Siletz. Clear cut		Forestry-clear cuts;					
100				28-B	to banks and airial spraying over cuts.	1	Forestry-pesticides					
					· Concerned about contamination of drinking water (Newport gets water from							
					Siletz), fish and soil contamination from spraying. Criminal that state does not							
					provide better protectionsespecially as rate of clear cutting/forestry activities		Forestry-General;					
101				28-C	increase due to increase in China exports.	1	Forestry-clear cuts					
102				28-D	· No pesticide mngt measures are in use in ag. lands.	1	Ag-pesticides	-				
					· Oregon relies largely on voluntary actions for its CNP and is not using back-up		General-voluntary	_				
103				28-E	authority.	1	approaches					
103				20 L			General-need to	-				
					Even when NOAA/EPA granted OR additional time to address conditions, OR		improve water					
104				28-F	waters are no better than they were before.	1	quality					
104				20-1	waters are no better than they were before.	1	General-need to	-				
					· OR hasn't done anything to address polluted runoff in coastal watersheds and		improve water					
105		aitiaan	2/10/14	20 C		2	_	Бол		1		
105	-	citizen	3/18/14	28-G	shouldn't be given approval until it does. • EPA/NOAA have exceeded the limits defined in the US Constitution. There are		quality	For		1		
					too many regulations and restrictions on the states, private property, and							
100		_:4:	2/10/14	20. 4	individuals. Congress should remove the budgets for EPA/NOAA and have				4			
106	-	citizen	3/19/14	29-A	proceeds go back to state of orgin.	1	General	Against	1			
107				30-A	· Supports diapproval	1	Decision	-				
					· Oregon does not have a program in place to control nonpoint source pollution in							
					our coastal watersheds that carries out CZARA management measures, nor does							
					Oregon have the additional management measures the law requires to achieve and							
					maintain Oregon's water quality standards and measures the law requires to		Decision; General-					
					achieve and maintain Oregon's water quality standards and protect Oregon's		fails to meet					
108				30-B	drinking water.	1	wqs/uses]				
					· Disheartened that Oregon has failed to bring logging practices into compliance							
					with federally approved water quality standardsputs contaminants in our							
109				30-C	drinking water, directly affecting our personal and community health	2	Forestry-General					
110				30-D	Agrees with NOAA/EPA that OR need to develop add MM for forestry.	2	Forestry-General					

	Α	B	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	K	L	М	N
						Oregon must increase protection of riparian areas for small and medium fish and		Forestry-riparian;					
111					30-E	non-fish streamsand high-risk landslide areas.	2	Forestry-landslides					
						OR must address impacts of forest roads better, including specifically so-called							
112					30-F	"legacy" roads	3	Forestry-roads					
112					301	OR must increase buffers for the application of pesticides to both fish and non-fish		Torestry roads					
						bearing streams and take other actions to prevent pesticides from entering water							
443					20.0		2	Forestwy mosticides					
113					30-G	that affects people, fish, and wildlife.	3	Forestry-pesticides					
						DEQ failed to adhere to its commitments that were foundation of 2010							
						settlement agreement and 16 yrs after conditional approval, has failed to make							
114					30-H	changes that are required.	3	General					
						· ODFW and NMFS agree many freshwater environmental impacts on Oregon		Salmon-need more					
						coast coho are human related, including "rearing and spawning habitat loss. (see:		protection; Forestry-					
						http://www.dfw.state.or.us/fish/species/coho.asp). Even ODF has found its logging		General; General-					
								fails to meet					
					20.1	practices violate water quality standards (see:	2						
115					30-I	http://www.science.oregonstate.edu/~madsenl/files/GroomDentMadsen2011.pdf)	3	wqs/uses					
						· Watersheds experience landslides from failed logging roads. Sites 4 landslides in							
116					30-J	Arch Cape (drinking water watershed) in 2013.	3	Forestry-landslides					
						· 20 ft buffers ODF mandates on drinking water streams are too narrow to							
117					30-K	1 1 0	4	Forestry-riparian					
						· Complete lack of buffers on non-fish streams make sedimentation a constant							
118					30-L	impairment/risk.	4	Forestry-riparian					
						· The drinking water for our communities routinely have high levels of known							
						carcinogens, trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids. These high levels are caused		Forestry-General;					
						when excess sediment that enters public waters from logging roads and inadequate		Forestry-riparian;					
119					30-M		4	Forestry-roads					
						·To meet federal drinking standards, both Arch Cape Water District and the City							
						of Rockaway Beach had to install extra filter membranes at signficant cost. Now							
120					30-N	entire community faces higher water bills.	4	Forestry-General					
					5011	· CZARA requires OR to demonstrate that it has additional MMs to meet water		1 stessing semeral					
						quality standards and protect designated uses (salmon, amphibians, drinking		General-fails to meet					
						water). Oregon has failed to do this. OR relies heavily on voluntary measures		wqs/uses; General-					
101					20.0		4	•					
121					30-O	which are worthless since tehy are not being adhered to or enforced.	4	voluntary approaches			1		
						Does not agree with EPA/NOAA that Oregon "may" have adequate stream							
						buffers for pesticide use on streams with salmon but is encouraged that							
						NOAA/EPA find that the state doesn't have good buffers on non-fish breaing							
122					30-P	streams. Most drinking water flows through non-fishbearing streams.	4	Forestry-pesticides					
123					30-Q	· Oregon's pesticide discharge permit allows spraying forest canopy over water.	4	Forestry-pesticides					
123					30 Q	siegen a pesiteise allernange permit allerna apraying forest earlopy over water.		Forestry-pesticides;					
						· State's failure to monitor water quality after sparying ensures that need for better		Monitoring-					
						buffers and laws won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was		improvements					
124					30-R	positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers aren't working.	4	needed					
						· Thinks NOAA/EPA are wrong for lauding Oregon's Pesticide Stewardship							
125					30-S	Partnership Program even when there are not pilots in coastal area.	4	Forestry-pesticides					
						· Doesn't see how NOAA/EPA can find that OR provides sufficient protection to							
						fish-bearing streams when EPA has still failed to change pesticide lables as							
126					30-T	required by NMFS.	5	Forestry-pesticides					
لتسا		ı	1		1	, A *		1 31		1	1	1	1

Δ	В	C	D	F	F	G	Н І	ı	К	L I	М	N
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					States excuse about inadequate studies and need to postpone action to allow for	- J		,	K	-	141	14
	N. Coast Basin				additional research is unacceptable. Research already exists that shows problems.							
127	Coalition	organization	3/19/14	30-U	(Cites DEQ 2011 WQ Status and Action Plan for Northcoast Basin)	5	Forestry-General	For		1		
127	Countron	organization	3/13/11	200	· Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source		1 ozestáj centezti	101		-		
128				31-A	pollution in its coastal watersheds.	1	Decision			1		
120			F	31 71	polition in its coustar watershous.		Becision	1		-		
							General-fails to meet					
							wqs/uses; Salmon-					
120				31-B	· State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead.	1	need more protection					
129 130			-	31-B 31-C	State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states.	1		-				
130			=	31-C		1	Forestry-General	=				
	(1) (2)				• Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused		Forestry-pesticides;					
	(b) (6)	•.•		21 D	by poorly maintained logging roads, and increased sediment load in our rivers	1	Forestry-landslides;					
131	_	citizen	#######	31-D	which inhibit salmon spawning ability.	1	Forestry-roads	For				
					· Supports disapproval. Echoes Beyond Toxic's letter:							
					http://www.beyondtoxics.org/wp-							
132	1	citizen	3/19/14	32-A	content/uploads/2014/03/CZARA_BeyondToxicsFindings2014March18.pdf	1	Toxics/Pesticides	for		1		
					· Against disapproval. Will negatively impact small communities that rely on							
133	Columbia River E	\$		33-A	NOAA/EPA funding for water quality improvements.	1	Decision	Againts	1			
					· Receives \$ from CZM program to support coastal planner position that is							
					involved in many water quality/habitat restoration efforts at local level and plays		Penalities-negative					
134				33-B	key role in implementing czm program at local level.	1	impacts					
					· NOAA and EPA need to give state more time to develop CNPits very		General-need more					
135		organization	3/19/14	33-C	challenging process and takes time.	2	time					
136				34-A	· Supports disapproval decision.	1	Decision			1		
					· While forestry is important contributor to NPS, in particular, concerned that		Forestry-General;					
137				34-B	OR's programs for new devel and OSDS are not sufficient to meet wqs.	1	New Devel; OSDS					
					· Agrees that state needs a commitment to enforce volutnary measures.							
					NOAA/EPA should require state provide a clear path forward for implementing							
					the new management measures consistent with the 6217(g) guidance, whether by							
					incorporating it into existing the NPDES general permit or crafting a new permit,							
					and require regulatory action if voluntary measures do not result in meaningful							
					and good faith efforts to achieve compliance. Particularly important given the							
					questionable effectiveness of the existing 1200C NPDES general permit for							
138				34-C	construction activities.	2	New Devel					
130			F	34 C	If the state chooses a TMDL implementation approach to address new deve, we		Tiew Bever	=				
					agree that the guidance must require DMAs include control measures applicable							
					to small MS4s under the Phase II program, and that Oregon must adopt a							
					regulatory back-up approach in order to ensure that the guidance is implemented							
				24 D	correctly by the DMAsif not, then state can't say it will be able to meet wqs and		M. D. 1					
139				34-D	protect designated uses.	2	New Devel	-				
					· OSDS systems must be sited in locations where they are properly separated from							
					groundwater. Restricting system density lowers the nitrate input to ground water.							
					Proper sizing of the system is important to minimize concentrations of							
					contaminants and prevent hydraulic overloading. Proper maintenance and regular							
140				34-E	inspection also needed.	3	OSDS					

Α	В	С	D	F	F	G	Н	ī	ı	К	1 1	М	N
	Oregon Shores Conservation			<u> </u>	· Supports the state's planned outreach efforts to educate property owners and promote voluntary inspections. Also agrees with NOAA/EPA that a lack of inspection or other enforcement mechanism undermines the effectiveness of	- C			,	K	<u>-</u>		i iv
141	Coalition	organization	3/19/14	34-5	Oregon's voluntary management measures.	3		OSDS	For				
		8						Decision; Salmon-	-				
								need more					
					·Supports disapproval. Local salmon runs have been devestated by			protection; Forestry-					
142				35-A	forestry/development.	1		General; New deve	for		1		
					· · · ·			General-salmon;					
					·Recent pollution wiped out all coho eggs in local hatchery and kills frogs/salmon			General-fails to meet					
143				35-B	in local stream. Paper said state was not investagating pollution source.	1		wqs/uses					
								General-need to					
					·Oregon's efforts to address nonpoint pollution of our waters has been			improve water					
144				35-C	monumental failure (Hecta Water Dist. Near Clear Lake)	2		quality					
					·Clear Lake is directly threatened by pesticide and herbicide applications inside								
					the watershed, as well as land disturbance on steep slopes near the lake from		Attached 1992	Forestry-pesticides;					
145				35-D	logging operations.	2	letter from Dr.	Forestry-General					
					·DEQ, Lane County, and the City of Florence all regularly adopt rules and		Larson that has						
					regulations which allow development that will obviously pollute the aquifer -		done his own						
					commercial stormwater drainage directly into pipes in the aquifer, residential		monitoring/observ	New Devel; OSDS;					
					development on septic systems next to lakes and surface water, logging activities		ations of Clear	Forestry-General;					
146				35-E	that include application of all manner of chemicals, etc.	2	Lake.	Forestry-pesticides					
					·Water District tried to prevent the spraying of fertilizers, herbicides and pesticides inside the Clear Lake watershed. The board was informed that there								
1.47				35-F	was nothing that could be done until it could be proven that something had	2		Forestmy posticides					
147				33-Г	actually harmed the water - after the spraying had been allowed.	3		Forestry-pesticides					
					• The protection zone language for herbicide spraying was purposefully written by								
					Lane County to be completely ineffective as far as application to logging operations inside the watershed, and minimal as to pollution from other human								
140				25 C	activities.	3		Forestry-pesticides					
148				33-U	Oregon politicians and officials, in my opinion, are unable to stand up to the	3		Polestry-pesticides					
					heavy political and financial influence wielded by the timber and development								
					industries in Oregon - influence which prevents any meaningful regulatory actions								
140				35-H		4		Forestry-general					
149				33-11	Oregon does not have a workable program that meets the requirements of EPA			1 orestry general					
					and NOAA for a coastal nonpoint pollution program. Piecemeal approaches such			New devel; Forestry-					
					as promises to increase TMDL's, tighten Department of Forestry riparian rules			riparian; Forestry-					
								*					
150				35-I		4							
				33 1				quantj					
								Forestry-riparian:					
151				35-J		4		* *					
				220				The second secon					
152	(b) (6)	citizen	3/19/14	35-K		4		Penalities					
150 151 152	(b) (6)	citizen	3/19/14	35-I 35-J 35-K	and decommission legacy roads, are insufficient as basic management measures to grant Oregon approval for a nonpoint program. NOAA/EPA need to require Oregon to provide not only a solid framework of basic management measures, but also a detailed and concrete list of additional management measures to actually protect riparian areas, and provide substantially increased protections for fertilizer, herbicide and pesticide applications near fishbearing and non-fish bearing streams. As long as Oregon governmental agencies continue to receive Federal monies for this program, it will never create an enforceable (much less enforced) and therefore effective, program.	4		roads; General-water quality Forestry-riparian; Forestry-pesticides Penalities					

А	В		D	F	F	G	н і	l j	K	1 1	М	N
	<u> </u>		_	=			Penalities-negative		.,	-		
					Recognize the need to improve water quality but urges NOAA/EPA to rethink		impacts; General					
					proposed decision due to significant impacts penalities would have on state's		need to improve					
153				36-A	ability to continue to improve water quality.	1	water quality	Against	1			
133			-	2011	· 319 and 306 \$ is used to do a lot of good things to improve water quality		, week quarty	1 Igamst				
					(OWEB, TMDLs, monitoring, assit to local govn't) that are amoung the most		Penalities-negative					
154				36-B	important tools in addressing NPS.	1	impacts					
134			-	30-Б	important tools in addressing 1415.	1	Penalities-negative					
155				36-C	Penalities hurt agencies/programs but don't change the rules.	1	impacts					
155	 Tillamook		-	30-0	Ask that NOAA/EPA continue to work with state to come into compliance but	1	Impacts					
		omeoniactic			delay/avoid penalities. Reach out to partners like TEP to help address remaining							
1.50	Estuary	organizatio	2/10/14	267		2	Danalities					
156	Partnership	n	3/19/14		conditions.	3	Penalities	A	1			
157		organization	3/19/14	37-A	Against penalities.	1	Penalities	Against	1			
				25 D	\$27,000/yr dept. receives from OR CZM is important part of budget for	4	Penalities-negative					
158				37-B	implementing czm on ground and controlling growth.	1	impacts					
					Oregon has strong land use planning and watershed mngt programs that benefit		Penalities-negative					
159				37-C	from this funding.	1	impacts					
	Lincoln County				• Taking away significant federal \$ will be counterproductive. It will take years to							
	Board of				recover from funding loss and will likely not result in the changes NOAA/EPA		Penalities-negative					
160	Commissions			37-D	seek.	1	impacts					
	(b) (6)				· Need better mngt of toxics. There is excessive and indiscriminate use of toxic							
161	(b) (b)	citizen	3/19/14	38-A	chemical poisons in land management, including agriculture and tree farms.	1	Toxics/Pesticides	For		1		
					· Need to consider all the good work cattleman have done to protect water quality.		Ag-General; General					
					Commentor is cattleman and fisherman that fences his creek and enjoys salmon		made improvements					
162		citizen	3/19/14	39-A	that run up it.	1	in water quality	Unclear			1	
					· Supports proposed disapproval. Significant clear cuttings occuring in "protected"		Decision; Forestry-					
					(Clear Lake) watershed w/ minimal (10 ft) buffers between waterways (including		clear cutting;					
163				40-A	drinking water source) and homes.	1	Forestry-riparian			1		
			-				V 1	-				
					Spraying and burning also occurs very close to (and over) homes too causing							
164				40-B	health problems and contaminating drinking water. This should not be allowed.	1	Forestry-pesticides					
					· Attempting to relocate during spray/burn events causes financial hardship and		The State of the S	-				
					spray/burn permits can last for months. Owners are given no warning when							
					activities will occur. Property values are lowered and no one would buy home if							
165				40-C	tried to sell due to publicity of harmful forestry activities in area.	2	Forestry-pesticides					
103				7U-C	· Shocked that OR allows this to happen to its citizens and hopes laws change	-	1 orestry-pesticities	-				
166		citizen	3/20/14	40-D	soon to protect citizen health and drinking water.	2	Forestry-general	For				
166		CIUZCII	3/20/14	+∪-D	soon to protect cruzen neatth and drinking water.		Decision;	TOI				
167				/1 A	Supports disapproval and Lies Arkin's (Powend Toxics) letter	1	Toxics/Pesticides			1		
167				41-A	Supports disapproval and Lisa Arkin's (Beyond Toxics) letter	1	1 Oxics/Pesticides	-		1		
1.50				41 D	Lives in WA and notes WA aquaculture and USDA spray directly over estuaries-		T:/D4: : 1					
168				41-B	state and local authorities are reluctant to stop them.	1	Toxics/Pesticides	-				
					NO. 1 (TD)							
					NOAA/EPA need to look at WA's pesticide practices too. Commentor believes							
					WA pay "lip service" to the 100ft buffer requirements they have for pesticide							
169		citizen	3/20/14		application but lack of enforcement leads to impaired waters and starfish die-offs.	1	Toxics/Pesticides	For				
170				42-A	· Supports diapproval	1	Decision					

ΙΔ	B	С	l n	F	F	G	Н	ī	1	К	1	М	N
	<u> </u>				· Oregon does not have a program in place to control nonpoint source pollution in		11	1	,	K		141	14
					its coastal watersheds that is sufficient to carry out the CZARA management								
					measures, as well as the								
					additional management measures the law requires to achieve and maintain			Decision; General-					
					Oregon's water quality standards, including protecting Oregon's designated uses,			fails to meet					
171				42-B	including drinking water standards.	1		wqs/uses					
					OR's current WQS and drinking water standards are failing to protect drinking			General-fails to meet					
172				42-C	water	1		wqs/uses					
					· Jetty Creek watershed provides drinking water to Rockaway Beach. 80% of			Forestry-clear					
					watershed has been clearcut over past several years even though DEQ source			cutting; Forestry-					
173				42-D		1		landslide					
173				72 D	Rockaway Beach drinking water has exceeded the EPA standards for allowable			Tanasnac					
					trihalomethane (THM) for the last three years (forms when add Cl to overly turbid								
174				42-E	waters).	2		Forestry-General					
177	_			72°L	Because its been clearcut, a lot of spraying has occurred in drinking water			Torona General					
175				42-F	watershed. Drinking water had tested positive for glyphosate.	2		Forestry-pesticides					
173			-	72 1	watershed. Drinking water had tested positive for gryphosate.			Forestry-pesticides;					
							A 44 - 1 - 1	Monitoring-					
					· No coordination between DEQ/ODF to conduct pesticide monitoring in timely		Attached summary	improvements					
176				42-G	manner and community is given no warning of spraying.	2	written in	needed					
170				42-0	mainer and community is given no warning or spraying.	2	September 2012						
							by Rockaway	Forestry-pesticides;					
					No monitoring of sirial drift of posticide even when OD Health Admin save can		Beach Citizens for	Monitoring-					
				40 II	· No monitoring of airial drift of pesticide even when OR Health Admin says can drift for 2-4 miles.	2	Watershed	improvements needed					
177			-	42-H			Protection which	needed					
	(b) (6)				After having been in contact with numerous public agencies, we are certain that Oregon does not have sufficient laws and regulations in place to insure safe and		describes concerns	General-fails to meet					
170		aitiaan	3/20/14	42-I	clean drinking water, as well as adequate fish and wildlife habit.	2			For		1		
178	_	citizen	3/20/14	42-1	clean drinking water, as wen as adequate fish and whome habit.		drinking water	wqs/uses Decision; Penalities-	ror		1		
170				12 A	Comments disagraphy and the same loss of \$4M	1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
179	_			43-A		1		benefits Forestmy Consul					
180	_			43-B	Oregon FPA aren't effective and state has no intentions to improve.	1		Forestry-General					
101				42.0	ODF and Gov's Natural Resource staff say state's land use laws provide	1		Forester Communication					
181				43-C	protections but if they worked, wouldn't have problems we see today.	1		Forestry-General					
					Landing amount County County desired and the county Declaration of county 1 - 1 - 2			Forestry-clear					
					Logging around Quartz Creek denuded the area. Designation of spotted owl sites			cutting; Forestry-					
100				42 D	and high risk areas meant nothing to operator. Hills, road failures, and on-going	1		General; Forestry-					
182				43-D	erosion verify the consequences of ODF's ineffective rules and laws.	1		roads					
					Clear that OR forest practices are far behind CA and WA. There are signifiant								
					differences in setbacks, notification or application process and consequences for			Frank C 1					
				40 F	non-compliance rather than just passing the consequences on to future	_		Forestry-General;					
183				43-E	generations.	2		Forestry-riparian					
					W/1 70% CO 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
					· With 70% of Oregon's streams threatened or endangered because of temperature,			Forestry-General;					
			0.000	40 =	sediment and chemicals it is past time to reign in these Oregon logging practices	_		General-fails to meet					
184		citizen	3/20/14	43-F	and laws do not begin to protect ecosystems or future generational needs	2		wqs/uses	for		1		
185				44-A	· Support disapproval.	1		Decision					

А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	H I	J	K	L	М	N
					· OR does not have effective programs in place to limit nonpoint source pollution in our coastal watersheds. The plans and rules they do have are not actually		General-fails to meet wqs/uses; General-					
186				44-B	working programs sufficient to meet and maintain water quality standards and protect our clean water, fish and other public uses.	1	need to improve water quality					
187				44-C	· State needs to adopt additional, enforceable management measures most importantly in agricultural and forested lands	1	Ag-add MMs					
107				77 C	·Areas where program improvement needed that could actually work to control		715 444 171715					
					polluted runoff from logging would be protection of riparian areas for small and medium streams (fish and non-fish bearig), including sufficient riparian buffers for							
					application of pesticides along non-fish streams; treating old logging roads often		Forestry-riparian;					
188				44-D	built on fill that are leaching sediment, protection of high-risk landslide areas from cuts	1	Forestry-roads; Forestry-landslides					
190				44-E	·Concur that OR does not have adequate protections for new devel. Seems to be little ESC used.	1	New devel					
189				44-L	inthe ESC used.	1	New devel					
					Oregon's biggest lack in management measures to help us meet water quality standards to protect our Oregon coast coho, amphibians, and drinking water and							
					other uses may be Oregon's lack of agricultural practices. Legacy areas where							
					there is only a buffer of blackberries along our rivers and streams do not need to be planted, cows trample our stream banks and don't need to be fenced out are		Ag-General; Ag-					
190				44-F	common sights. Animal waste runs off through eroding fields into our streams.	1	legacy; Ag-buffers					
	(b) (6)				Concerned that beavers, which could help re-build our downcutting streams			_				
191		citizen	3/20/14	44-G	channels and make complex floodplains and wetlands, are trapped or hunted out.	1	Beavers General-water	For		1		
192				45-A	NPS is biggest threat to OR coastal waters habitats, etc.	1	quality					
					Large industry (forestry roads and spraying) is impacting water quality. OR needs laws to protect water quality. Need to use CNP to improve these issues and laws		Forestry-roads;					
193	_	citizen	3/20/14		to provide better oversight.	1	Forestry-pesticides			1		
194				46-A	·Supports disapproval OR doesn't have programs in place to meet CZARA requirements, including add	1	Decision General-needs to	For		1		
195	-				MMs, and meet wqs and designated uses.	1	meet wqs/uses					
					Oregon is failing to protect are native fish; native aquatic and aquatic-dependent wildlife including birds, mammals, and amphibians; public and private drinking							
					water; fishing, including eating fish free from contamination; swimming, wading,		Community to the					
196				46-B	and boating; and my ability to enjoy the aesthetic qualities of Oregon's waters and wetlands.	1	General-needs to meet wqs/uses					
					• State is not doing enough to prevent polluted runoff from forestryespecially		Forestry-General;					
197				46-C	related totimber harvesting and riparian protection (fish and nonfish-bearing streams and for pesticide application).	2	Forestry-riparian; Forestry-pesticides					
					· Concerned about chemical use and its impacts on neighboring property (sites							
					example of husband experiencing side effects from alledged nearby pesticide use and contamination of domestic water supplies). Need to do more than just adhear							
100				16 D	to label requirementsthat shouldn't be all that is legally required for industry to	_	Formation model: : 1 -					
198	_			46-D	meet. Concerned about insufficient or complete lack of warning from ODF when)	Forestry-pesticides					
199				46-E	pesticides will be used near property.	5	Forestry-pesticides					

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	H I	J	K	L	М	N
					ODF's assumptions, policies, laws and practices, pose a huge threat to the quality							
200				46-F	of life, long term economic viability, and sustainability of our communities.	5	Forestry-General					
							Forestry-General;					
					OR needs to protect surface drinking water in Deer Creek Watershedcritical		General-needs to					
201				46-G	source of water for residents.	6	meet wqs/uses					
					Oregon doesn't have programs in place to protect and restore riparian areas needed							
					to maintain cool stream temperatures and habitat, protect and restore channel		Forestry-riparian; Ag	;-				
					conditions from		riparian; Hydromod;					
					modification, protect and restore wetlands, identify where more protection is		Wetlands;					
					needed to protect important habitat for species, identify where more pollution		Monitoring-					
					control is needed to protect uses, monitor water quality and use water quality data		improvements					
					to improve pollution controls, monitor pesticide use and impacts, assess whether		needed;					
	(b) (6)				pollution controls are reducing pollution and improving water quality, link the		Toxics/Pesticides;					
	(b) (6)		0/20/14	4 - **	enforcement agencies and process with other agencies, or use enforcement when	_	General-voluntary					
202		citizen	3/20/14	46-H	voluntary actions are not adequate to protect water quality.	1	approaches	0				
203		.,.	2/20/14	47-A	Support proposed decision and finding doc.	I	Decision	for		1		
204		citizen	3/20/14	47-B	· Important for state to include additional MM for agriculture.	<u> </u>	Ag-add MMs					
					Use data to uniformly establish, prioritize, and track programmatic progress							
					towards water quality goals. Need better effectiveness monitoring to be able to							
					make adapative changes as needed to voluntary and other programs. Cites ag, in		Mariania					
				72 4	particular. Need better science to inform implementation targets and determine	1, 2,	Monitoring -					
				73-A	how well programs are working. (Ex. TFT's recent use of LiDAR to determine	3	improvements					
					ability of buffers to produce adequate shade). Moving forward with new Ag regs		needed; Ag - Genera					
					without first understanding the gap between the problem and current conditions							
205					and without data-based benchmarks for chipping away at the problem will only			for		1		
205					perpetuate issues moving forward.			for		1		
				73-B	Focus on outcomes and support the tools that achieve progress on the ground. The loss of approximately \$4 million per year in funding for on-the-ground restoration		Penalties - negative					
206				/3-В	runs wholly counter to what all agree is needed on the ground.	3	impacts					
200					NWEA's claim that CZARA needs to be achieving WQS now is not correct.							
				73-C	CZARA obligations may not currently require	4, 5	General					
207				75-0	controls, but instead contemplate future actions.	7, 3	General					
207					Requests that NOAA/EPA include TFT's 4/22/13 response to NWEA's March 13,							
					2013 to EPA Regarding Medford Permits to record. TFT's letter corrects factual							
				73-D	and legal inaccuracies in NWEA's letter. Also should include TFT's 9/27/13 public	5. 6	General - Public					
					comments to Oregon DEQ on Wilsonville's now-withdrawn water quality trading		comment					
208	he Freshwater Trus	organization	3/20/14		program as section III(C)(4)(d) of the Proposed Finding.							
		<u> </u>			· State has gotten by with an ineffective piecemeal approach, including promises		General-need to					
					to tighten TMDL's, increase the size of riparian buffers under Department of		improve water					
					Forestry rules for logging on private lands, decommission and/or restore so-called		quality; Forestry-					
					legacy roads in forestlands, and craft a voluntary approach to onsite septic		riparian buffers;					
					leakage. All of these things are necessary, but none are remotely sufficient to		Forestry-roads;					
209				48-A	solve the problems facing coastal communities.	1	OSDS			1		
					· Supports disapproval. Lack of NOAA/EPA action and penalities has allowed OR			1				
					to continue limping along with half-measures for seventeen years that are effective							
210				48-B	while drinking water and other impairments occur.	7	Decision; Penalties					

Λ	D			Е	е Г	G	ц т	ı		, 1	NA .	N
A	В		U	E	State has refused to create, use, enforce and maintain a nonpoint program that	G	H I General-fails to meet	J	K	L	IVI	IN
211				48-C	protects the designated uses.	2	wqs/uses					
211	_		-	40-C	There are no 6217 MM to protect drinking water from loggingthe central issue		wqs/uses					
212				48-D	for coastal communities.	2	Forestry-General					
212	-		-	46-D			Porestry-General					
212				40 E	Agree that state need to adopt add. MM for forestry. Otherwise WQS	2	Forestwi Conoral					
213	_ -		-	48-E	std/designated uses (drinking water) won't be met.		Forestry-General					
					Drinking waters are surrounded by private forest land or are below forest							
				40.7	operations. 20ft buffers on fish-bearing streams do not protect from sedimentation	2	.					
214	-		-	48-F	and pesticide/herbicide use.	2	Forestry-riparian					
				40 C		2	F					
215	-		-	48-G	Concerned about ODF's vague public notification requirements when spraying.	2	Forestry-pesticides					
216	-			48-H	ODF/DEQ don't have regular testing protocols for pesticides after sprays.	2	Forestry-pesticides					
					Lack of sufficient protection for non-fish bearing streams is significant issue.							
					Agree with NOAA/EPA that add MM for better rip protection of non-fish bearing							
217				48-I	streams is needed.	3	Forestry-riparian					
					The 20-foot riparian buffer where required is completely ineffective, and subject							
218				48-J	to blowdown in even a moderate coastal storm.	3	Forestry-riparian					
					~40% of residents in the coastal region live outside of UGBs which means that the							
					majority of those residents are on septic systems. Minimal enforcement. Sites							
					example of how worked with Dunes Creek to adopt their own OSDS ordiance to							
					require regular inspections since county was not doing enough. Attached several							
					related ODSD docs for Dunes City. Sites other examples where hot spots of							
219				48-K	failing systems yet nothing has been done.	4	OSDS					
213				10 11	raining systems yet nothing has even done.	•	0,00					
					Voluntary OSDS proposal will not work (it didn't in Dunes City). No tracking and							
					DEQ lacks resources to do so. Must require OR to require Oregon, to create,							
					maintain and enforce an onsite septic program that requires at least: (a) mandatory							
					inspection every few [three to five] years; (2) mandatory pumping initially and							
					subsequently after inspection whenever needed; (3) a step-by-step program							
					through which Oregon will help homeowners with grants and low cost loans who							
					need help with pumping costs and/or must replace old, failing septic systems; (d)							
					explicit enforcement mechanisms. If counties have the option to manage the							
	Oregon Coast				program, the same funding and enforcement mechanisms would need to be							
220	Alliance	organization	3/20/14	48-J	in place.	5	OSDS	For				
221				49-A	Supports disapproval.	1	Decision	for		1		
	1				OR doesn't have program in place to meet CZARA requirement and WQS and		General-fails to meet					
222				19-B	protect designated uses	1	wqs/uses					
	1				Oregon has failed to control run-off pollution from timber harvest and logging		Forestry-General;					
223				49-C	roads.	1	Forestry-roads					
	-				State has failed to control polluted runoff from urban development and roads,							
224				49-D	highways and bridges.	1	New Devel					
	1			., 12		-	1,0,1,20,01					
					Insufficient riparian buffers for fish and non-fish bearing streams contributes to							
					polluted runoff and doesn't have programs in place to adequately protect and							
225				49-E	restore riparian areas needed to maintian cool stream temperatures and habitat.	1	Forestry-riparian					
223				47-C	position repartan areas needed to maintain coor stream temperatures and nabitat.	1	rorestry-riparian					

	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н І	J	К	L	М	N
		-							<u> </u>				
						OR has failed to control polluted runoff from eroding streambanks and shorelines							
						and the effects of dams on water and habitat and channel modification and doesn't							
226	5				49-F	have programs in place to provide adequate protection	1	Hyrdomod					
		- 				OR has failed to control polluted runoff from erosion and sedimentation from		Ag-General; Ag-					
227	7				49-G	agricultural lands and livestock destruction of riparian areas.	1	buffers					
		-			.,,	room to the control of the control o							
						OR doesn't have programs in place to protect streams/fish from polluted runoff							
228	3				49-H	from pesticide use on forest land and monitor pesticide use and impjacts.	1	Forestry-pesticides					
		=				1 13		Monitoring-					
						OR doesn't have programs in place to adequately assess whether pollution controls		improvements					
229	9				49-I	are reducing pollution and improving water quality;	1	needed					
		<u>-</u>			.,, 1	Doesn't believe Oregon has described link between the enforcement agencies and							
						process with other agencies and use enforcement when voluntary actions are not		General-voluntary					
230		Native Fish Societ	vorganization	3/20/14	49-J	adequate to protect water	1	approaches					
231	-	1001 (0 1 1511 2 3 0 10)	Jergamzaaren	0,20,1.	53-A	Supports disapproval.		Decision			1		
23.	-	1			2271	OR doesn't have programs in place to protect drinking water. Problems with		200,000			1		
232	,				53-B	logging, pesticide use, quarries.	1	General-Forestry					
232	-	†			- 33 B	60 0, F 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		General-fails to meet					
								wqs/uses; Forestry-					
						Logging rds/overharvesting/landslides cause excess turbidity that reacts with Cl to		landslides; Forestry-					
233	3				53-C	produce carcinogens.	1	roads					
	1	-				No monitoring after spraying to understand true impacts/risks. Little warning	-	1000					
234	1				53-D	when spraying occurs.	1	Forestry-pesticides					
23		-			33 B	Need to require turbidity monitoring of streams during and after rainstorms and		Monitoring-					
						use enforcement for excess turbidity. Need road surface condition monitoring on		improvements					
231					53-E		2	needed					
25.	,	-			33 L	Problems with FPA include restrictions on clearcuts to 120 ac by one owner		Forestry-clear					
236	5				53-F	(doesn't account for cumulative impacts of nearby owners)	2	cutting					
250	,	-			33 1	(doesn't decount for edificiative impacts of ficulty owners)		Monitoring-					
						Need to ensure quarries operating in drinking water areas are inspected regularly		improvements					
237	7				53-G	and regulated properly.	2	needed					
237		Oceanside			22.0		-	Monitoring-					
		Cleanwater				DOH only requires inspection of drinking water for organic toxics every 3 yrs.		improvements					
238	3	Subcommittee	organization	3/15/14	53-H	Needs to be more frequent and relevant to when spraying occurs.	2	needed	for				
239				2. 20. 11	51-A	Supports disapproval.	1	Decision			1	*	
		-				Provide the second seco		200,000			1		
						OR needs to do more to prevent NPS to bays/estuaries. All but one of the bays in							
						which shellfish are farmed commercially require daily monitoring because of		General- Need to					
						pollution impacts of a non-point source origin. Some of these growing areas may		Improve Water					
240					51-B	end up being closed for over 100 days each year for pollution reasons	1	Quality					
		-				A more rigorously regulated and monitored onsite program is needed to prevent		- Quinty					
241			Shellfish		51-C	toxic bacteria outbreaks like Coos Bay 2013.	1	OSDS					
		1	Program			A closer and more critical look at how effectively pollution from agricultural		322					
242	2	(b) (6)	lead (ODA)	3/6/14	51-D		2	Ag-General	for				
- '2	1		(32.1)	2. 0. 1		Against disapproval Disapproval punishes the agriculture community and our	-	Ag - General;					
		(b) (6)	rancher/far		64, 66,	strong efforts to meet the requirements of the CNPCP and improve water quality	1	Penalties - Negative					
243	3	(b) (6)	mer	3/20/14	68-A	conditions		_	Against	3			
	1			1	1	<u> </u>		1P	0				

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
				64, 66,	Values the CNPCP program and 319 \$ because programs provide funding for			General; Penalties -					
				68-B	stream improvement and restoration projects and monitoring in our areas.	3		Negative impacts					
244				00-В	Penalities are counterintuitive			Negative impacts					
					Many ranchers and farmers in my area have worked hard as required by the								
					AWQMP rules to contribute towards the State's efforts to meet or exceed water								
					quality standards. For instance, local farmers and ranchers have invested hundreds								
				61 66	of hours in developing, and re-developing Ag Water Quality Management Plans			Ag - General;					
				64, 66, 68-C	that formulate watershed goals and investment priority areas that will continue to	1		Penalties - Negative					
				08-C	enhance water quality and ensure the State can meet its water quality obligations.			imacts					
					To lose funding for these efforts would be discouraging and limit the capacity to								
					achieve future water quality goals. He has planted trees and provides								
245					woodland/riparian boards around creeks.								
				(1 (6	Oregon is meeting and in many ways exceeding the federal statutory and								
				64, 66,	regulatory requirements for Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments	1		General					
246				68-D	(CZARA) grant funding.		F 1 1 14 1						
				64, 66,			Each submitted	A a Come ::= 1					
247				68-E	1455b(g)(5)	1	the same letter	Ag - General					
					ODA identifies agriculture activities that are preventing achievement or		individually under						
				64, 66,	maintenance of water quality standards and works with farmers to modify, reduce,		their name.	Ag - General; Ag -					
				68-F	or remove them from our operations. ODA works with farmers to address	2		EP&Ms					
248					problems voluntarily before going to enforcement.								
					Between 1998 and 2012, OWEB contributed nearly \$18 million for coastal								
					agricultural water projects and over \$5 million was provided in-kind by local								
					SWCDs and landowners. This contributed to the restoration of 956 linear stream								
				64, 66,				Ag - General; Ag -					
				68-G	owners have voluntarily enrolled thousands of acres in federal programs that are	2		Buffers					
					designed to improve water quality. We have done this with the understanding that								
					the AWQMP and our work would meet federal and state requirements for								
249					agriculture.								
				64, 66,	EPA nor NOAA, haven't provided specific data or information to support their								
250					claim that NPS problems from ag are widespread.	2		Ag - General					
					AWQMP requires ODA to implement site-specific and site-appropriate controls.								
				_,	These controls are designed to address actual water quality issues with								
				64, 66,	economically achievable measures. In my area, farmers and ranchers are planting	3		Ag - General; Ag -					
				68-I	trees along streams, fencing streams with buffered areas, and providing alternative			Buffers					
251					water sources for cattle								
					To say Oregon landowners have not worked on protecting water quality does not								
				64, 66.	take into account the many volunteer actions we have done. For instance, in the								
				68	Wilson River watershed, a variety of partners have spent more than 1.4 million								
252					dollars restoring and protecting the lower Wilson Watershed.								
252 253				52-A	Supports disapproval.			Decision	For		1		
	1												
					FPA is written to protect the timber industry, not the human and wildlife								
					communities it invades, riparian ordinances established to facilitate development								
	Land Watch Lane				and private property "rights" that eschew public responsibility have assured the								
254	County	organization	3/20/14	52-B	steady degradation of Oregon's environmental health and beauty.	1		General-Forestry					
	1				Supports disapproval even though recognizes penalities will hurt programs								
255				54-A	working to do good.	1		Decision			1		
<u> </u>	1	1	1	1			1		1	l	-		

А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н І	J	К	L	М	N
	_		_		OR needs improved pesticides application restrictions and protections for all		-	-				<u> </u>
					classes of streams in both forestry and agricultural areas. Additionally, we							
					encourage EPA and NOAA to require even greater pesticide protection standards							
					for all land use areas within the Oregon Coastal Zone to prevent many of the		Forestry-Pesticdes;					
					unmonitored dangers that these chemicals pose to humans and aquatic species,		Agriculture-					
256				54-B	like salmon.	1	Pesticides					
	_				Supports NOAA/EPA rationales for why OR hasn't meet CZARA requirements,	<u> </u>		=				
257				54-C	including concerns raised about ag.	3	Decision					
	_				Oregon's pesticide laws, forestry management laws, clean water laws, and its			=				
					implementing regulatory programs fail to adequately protect coastal zone							
					resources and the people living within the coastal zone from the dangers of the							
					increasing use of pesticides across all land uses and activities, but especially in the							
					activities of forestry and agriculture. In the Oregon Coastal Zone, neither FIFRA,		Forestry-Pesticdes;					
					nor state pesticides, agricultural, or forestry laws adequately protect or account for		Agriculture-					
250				54-D	these known risks.	2	Pesticides					
258	_			34-1		3	resticités					
					Although NOAA/EPA found Oregon's state-level frameworks and actions to		Forgeting Destination					
					address pesticide water quality controls sufficient and even commendable because		Forestry-Pesticdes;					
				54 E	of their monitoring mandates and multi-agency management team, none of these	2	Agriculture-					
259				54-E	pilot monitoring programs are occuring in the coastal zone.	3	Pesticides	-				
					EPA and NOAA improperly assume that, should riparian buffer standards for type							
					N streams and monitoring programs within the coastal zone adhere to existing							
					state laws and programs concerning water quality and pesticides, then Oregon's							
					CNPCP would warrant approval. We disagree because existing state and federal		Forestry-Pesticdes;					
					laws fail to address large swaths of the pesticide application activities and fail to		Agriculture-					
260				54-F	collect critical pesticide application and risk data.	3	Pesticides	=				
					Documented in a recent report, Oregon's Industrial Forests and Herbicide Use: A							
					Case Study of Risk to People, Drinking Water and Salmon, private forestry							
					operations in Oregon operate under antiquated and loose regulations, allowing							
					aerial spraying and unmonitored applications of pesticides as compared to their							
					federal forestry operation and border-state counterparts. Specifically 1)There are							
					known endocrine disrupting chemicals entering our drinking water sources and							
					fish-bearing streams.							
					2) Oregon does not require a no-spray buffer near homes and schools. 3) Aerial							
					herbicide sprays regularly occur directly over headwaters and tributaries of							
					protected salmon streams. 4) Oregon permits pesticides to be sprayed with only							
					the smallest protective buffer of 60 feet from salmon and steelhead streams—a							
					buffer significantly smaller than other Northwest states with similar forest and							
					river ecosystems. 5) Stricter chemical and pesticide rules apply in neighboring							
					states with heavy forestry industries. 6) Under the current administrative rules, the							
					Oregon Forest Practices Act prohibits researchers, doctors and the public from		Forestry-Pesticdes;					
					obtaining accurate information about what types and quantities of herbicides are		Agriculture-					
261				54-G	sprayed	6	Pesticides					
	-			5.0	~p~~j~~		Forestry-Pesticdes;	1				
					Cites environmental and health risks from glyphosate and other pesticides. Also	4-5,	Agriculture-					
262	Beyond Pesticides	organization	3/20/14	5⊿_H	expressed concerns regarding unknown and unmonitored risks of pesticides.	7-10	_	for				
202	Deyona resucides	qorganizatior	1 3/20/14	J4-N	capiessed concerns regarding unknown and unmonitored risks of pesticides.	7-10	resticities	for				

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
								Worked at DEO						
								Worked at DEQ in early 1990s to help						
263					55-A	Supports disapproval	1	develop CNP.				1		
203	-				33 11	Notes penalities seem counterintutive to Congress' intent with CZARA to improve		develop er i :		_		1		
						coastal wq and does not impact the 2 agencies (ODF/ODA) that can actually do								
						something to address issues. DEQ doesn't have authority to tell ODF/ODA to do								
264					55-B	something and lacks political will to get it.	2	2	Penalties					
		_				Federal agencies have obligation to step in since state lacks will do anything about								
265		_			55-C	issues.	2	2	General	-				
						All concerns sited about ag in decision doc are correct based on commentors								
						experience working in Umqua and Mid-Coast Basins. ODA sees its role as								
						advocate for and protector of the agricultural industry, and devoted very little								
					55.5	time, attention or resources to enforcement. Only the largest, most egregious cases								
266					55-D	have been subject to any enforcement action by ODA.	2	2	Ag-General	-				
						Served as advisory member to the Mid Coast Basin Agricultural Area Advisory								
						Committee in its review of the local area plan beginning in 2009, when specific								
						buffer proposals were presented to the committee. All of the specific proposals for riparian protection were rejected by the committee, despite their knowledge of								
						specific water quality problems in the basin created or exacerbated by inadequate								
						riparian vegetation, including stream temperature problems and bacterial								
267					55-E	contamination from livestock.	3	3	Ag-Buffers					
		=				ODA's area plans focus on impaired areas rather than also focusing on protection:				-				
						By refusing to require protective management measures, ODA is allowing								
						polluting practices to occur for many years until degraded water quality conditions								
						are documented and Total Maximum Daily Loads developed, self-implementing								
268					55-F	or otherwise.	3	3	Ag-General					
						ODA does not track implementation and effectiveness of ODA area plans: Ag.								
						Monitoring is not sufficient. A monitoring plan developed by ODA was submitted								
						to the State's Independent Multidisciplinary Science Team (part of the state's			Ag-General;					
						salmon recovery effort), which found the plan to be lacking in detail and focus,			Monitoring-					
						and offered extensive advice to ODA about the basics of monitoring.			Improvements					
269		-			55-G	http://www.fsl.orst.edu/imst/reports/ODA_06-27-06.pdf] 3	5	Needed	-				
						ODA's remoting sensing monitoring of riparian areas showed very little (if any)								
270					55-H	improvements in buffers. Now ODA may be scrapping remote sensing monitoring program for something else (see link in letter).	2		Ag-General					
270 271					55-I	ODA has authority to take action against legacy issues but lacks political will.		1	Ag-General	-				
2/1	-	-			33-1	Protection of riparian areas: ODF's own study, Ripstream, documents that	"		Ag-Octiciai					
						harvesting on private forest land carries a significant risk (estimated at 40%) that								
						harvesting will result in violations of Oregon's water quality standard for								
272					55-J	protecting cold water.	4	l F	Forestry-riparian					
									· 1					
						In theroy, EQC has legal authority to require changes that will provide protection								
						to streams, the practical reality is that there is no certainty whatsoever that there								
						will be any additional riparian protection provided. EQC/DEQ can petition BOF								
273					55-K	but they can take 2 yrs to act and even then, could decide no to do anything.	4	<u> </u>	Forestry-riparian					
274					55-L	Significant stream turbidity issues in Suislaw due to forest activities/rds.	5	5	Forestry-roads					

Ι Δ	P					G	H I I	1	l v l	1	M	NI
	D		<i>D</i>		Analysis of pesticide application records in the Triangle Lake area west of Eugene		п	J	IX.	L	IVI	IN
					shows that in the study area, more than 20 tons of pesticide products were applied							
275				55-M	in just a three-year period.	5	Forestry-pesticides					
273				00 1.1	The Board has not given any indication of an intent to provide riparian protection		Totally positions					
					for small non-fish bearing streams which make up 70% or more of the coastal							
					stream miles. While the streams do not support fish, they flow into fish bearing							
276				55-P	streams.	5	Forestry Riparian					
					Supports Beyond Toxics Comments. Need mandatory spray buffers and vegetated		Forestry-pesticides;					
277	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	55-N	riparian zone. Buffers around streams.	6	Forestry-riparian	for				
					ODA is abandoning its approach in addressing riparian improvements. It now							
278				55-O	appears to have initiated a new program. See the attached specific web sites							
279				56-A	Support disapproval.	8	Decision			1		
					Concerned about the impacts of polluted runoff from currently defined NPSs that							
					are a product of timber harvest, agriculture and urban development. Specifically							
					how those sources currently raise stream temperatures, and pollute our waterways							
					with bacteria, turbidity and sediment and the ways these types of activities impact							
					stream banks stability, and unnaturally increase the speed of runoff and stream							
					flow following precipitation events, altering the natural hydrograph and changing							
					erosion patterns. These types of pollution and other alterations effect threatened							
					species such as Southern Oregon Northern California Coast (SONCC) coho		General- water					
					salmon, other aquatic life and the public's ability to safely recreate and obtain	1 to	quality; general-					
280				56-B	clean drinking water.	2	salmon					
					OR needs additional MM for forestry. State's claim that land use laws and							
281				56-C	voluntary FPA are sufficient is false. Much more is needed.	2	Forestry-general					
					State has had over 16 yrs of notice backed by numerous studies/reports (1998							
					conditional approval, IMST, Ripstream, NMFS SONCC, Statewide Eval of FPA							
					Effectiveness) that needs to do more with forestry yet they still claim voluntary is	2 to						
282				56-D	way to go.	3	Forestry-general					
					NMFS recommeded buffers range from 150-300ft far above 20ft that OR has							
283				56-E	(only for fish-bearing).	3	Forestry-riparian					
					Need larger spray buffers (may be better tha mulit-agency approach that attempts							
284				56-F	to monitor pesticide impacts).	3	Forestry-pesticides					
					State's July 1, 2013 submission lacks any description or details about what							
					methods the state uses in evaluating effectiveness of BMPs, nor a process for							
					evaluating when additional BMPs may be required to protect beneficial uses, nor							
					any criteria for enforcement if the use (or not) of those BMPs results in							
					detrimental impacts to beneficial uses. The State goes on to claim that "Voluntary							
					reporting of voluntary measures has diminished in past years, however it is							
					reasonable to assume that voluntary measure implementation has not." If reporting							
					has dropped, it does not seem reasonable to assume that implementation							
285				56-G	continues, considering the voluntary nature.	3	Forestry-roads					

ПА	В	С	D	E	F	G	H I	J	К	L	М	N
	-		_							_		
					States voluntary approach to address new devel isn't sufficient. TMDLs for a							
					number of parameters certainly cover the bulk of the area in question, but may not							
					cover the whole CZARA area, nor would they be for all the parameters that may							
					be at issue in those areas. Needs to be very clear what authority they will use,							
					show development of an implementation structure, a commitment of resources to							
					that structure, a track record of use of backup authority when criteria require it,							
					and a clearly articulated method to evaluate progress. In the interim while those							
					are being developed, the State needs to be clear on what type of outreach and							
286				56-H	training will be done as part of the voluntary measures that are being proposed.	4	New Development					
286				56-I	State needs direct rule for new devel.	1	New Development	-				
287				30-1		4	New Development	_				
					OR doesn't have sufficient ag programs to meet CZARA requirements. Inland							
					Rogue Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Plan (IRAWQMAP)							
					management plans lacks specific thresholds for unacceptable activity, and thus are							
					based on the subjective Rogue Riverkeeer comments RE: NOAA, EPA seek							
					public comment on proposal to disapprove Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Pollution							
					Program opinion of ODA staff. ODA does not appear to take water quality issues	l						
				7 C T	y 1	5 to						
288				56-J	and incredibly slow when it does occur.	8	Ag-General					
					ODA staff has informed our staff that enforcement is complaint driven.							
289				56-K	*	6, 8	Ag-General					
					When there is enforcement, it is incredibly slow and ineffective. In 2011 Rogue							
					Riverkeeper requested all complaints from since the IRAWQMAP was put in							
					place for the Inland Rogue. Only 20 complaints for both the Inland Rogue and							
					Bear Creek areas were filed, and most of them had limited follow up. In one							
					instance on Antelope Creek first reported in early 2008, it took 1.5 years from the							
					initial complaint of significant bacteria pollution from horses and cows to a letter							
290				56-L	of non-compliance (report tracking number 08-16).	8	Ag-General					
					We ask that EPA/NOAA require Oregon to implement additional management		Ag-add MMs;					
					measures, in particular for agriculture, forestry and urban development, to meet		Foresty-general,					
291	Rogue River Keepe	organization	3/20/14	56-M	water quality standards and protect designated uses.	8,9	New Development	for				
291 292 293												
293				58-A	Support disapproval.		Decision	for		1		
					Climate Change Preparation/Mitigation, and Ocean Acidification: Need to prepare							
					for climate change by putting programs in place to prevent harm to water quality							
					and make watersheds more resilient to large storms, by requiring wider stream							
					buffers for forestry and agriculture operations, larger fish-friendly culverts that							
					pass more water from larger storms, improved road drainage, road drainage							
					disconnected from streams, removal of valley bottom and mid-slope roads that		General-need to					
					intercept the downslope movement of beneficial wood and sediment, reduced road		include other issues:					
294				58-B	density especially in steep terrain, and better protection for unstable slopes.	1	Forestry-general					
					Oregon's programs for protection of water quality could be improved by fully							
					implementing its statewide land use goals which incorporate concepts of "carrying"		General-need to					
295				58-C	capacity."	3	include other issues					

Degran wild organization 5.0014 5.8.1 Organ Wild Organization 5.0014	Δ	R	C	D	F	F	G	Н	ī	1	K	1	М	N
underlying those TADICs. as a shout to be understanded by efforts to radice stream protection on fortical roses shade. All or the elevations of a Recourse Management Plans in western Ocean call for against and automotive of stream before shade. All of the elevations of a Recourse Management Plans in western Ocean call for against and automotive of the elevation of a Recourse Management Plans in western Ocean call for against and automotive of the elevation of t		U		D		Oregon has approved several TMDLs in the Coast Range but the assumptions	0	11	1	,	K	-	141	11
protection on federal Borest Innot. All of the alternatives proposed by BLA for the evidents of its Response Management Plans in wateron Creepon all for significant authority of stream buffers, and note of the action alternatives maintain the current buffers. 10														
seistum of its Resource Management Plans in western Chegun and for significant numbers and more billers, and note of the action thereby maintain the numbers ballers, and comment billers, and note of the action thereby maintains of the action thereby maintains and the numbers ballers. 58-D Intelligence of the state allow more logging on non-indental lands, under the assumption that there logging pears streams on other lands would be articly limited. Novel it must our than there will likely be more logging pear streams on a streams on non-indental lands, under the assumption that there logging pears streams on a streams on non-indental lands in under the assumption that there will likely be more logging pear streams on a streams on non-indental lands would be articly limited. Novel it must our than there will likely be more logging pear streams on a streams on non-indental lands would be articly limited. Novel it must our than the will likely be trained to several than the control of the assumption of the streams of non-indental lands would be articly limited. Novel it must out that there will likely be understanded and work in the control of the streams of t						The state of the s								
Section Sect														
SS-D Spir/Away Man gow/orlplass/mpswesternocegon/files/alternifiq.pdf De ThDLA approved by the state allow more logging on non-ofered lands, under the assumption that there logging non-ast-decrease in logging near streams on federal lands, which there logging non-ast-decrease in logging near streams on the more than the service of the stream of the search of the														
Section Sect														
The TMDLa approved by the state allow more loging on non-sleet all ands, under the assumption that there loging near streams to feed and lands, would be structly limited. Now it mus not that there will likely be more logging near streams on freederal lands, so other needs to be a corresponding decrees in logging near streams on non-sleeteral lands in order to avoid exceeding the watershed scale waseled tool tailed in the filter of the filtral properties on forest issues have been on shade-sediment. Also need large woody 58-E Oregon needs greater courteds on spraying chemicals such as penticides and large woody 58-E Oregon needs greater courteds on spraying chemicals such as penticides and large woody of the least includes in consolal watersheds, especially near streams. 58-E Oregon meeds greater courteds on spraying chemicals such as penticides and large woody of the least includes in consolal watersheds. Seek and menghatering and the least includes in consolal watersheds, especially near streams. 58-E Oregon wild organization 2011 Seed and the least includes and because of consolar watershed in menghatering states. Clies numerous studies about inadequacy of OPA and how its worse than feederal for the protective consolar penticies praying. Secredahand secous of citizens in western and menghatering states. Concerned about penticies praying. Secredahand secous of citizens in western and the control of the penticies praying. Where each to do do not protect branch need and the penticies praying with the discontinuous penticies praying. Secredahand secous of citizens in western and the control of the penticies praying with the discontinuous penticies praying. Secredahand secous of citizens in western and the control of the penticies and the penticies praying and designated to see the control of the penticies penticies praying. More needs to do do not protect water quality and designated was and the penticies of the penticies penticies and the penticies of the penticies penticies and the penticies of the pe					50 D									
the assumption that there logging near streams on federal bands, would be strictly finited. Now it times out that there will falsely be more logging near streams on more fledgral bands, so there needs to be a corresponding decrease in logging mean streams on more fledgral bands, so there needs to be a corresponding decrease in logging mean streams on more fledgral bands, so there needs to be a corresponding decrease in logging mean streams on more fledgral bands in other TMDLs. 1928	296				58-D		4		Forestry-General					
imitated. Now it turns out that there will likely be more logging near streams on incloral makes so there needs to be a corresponding cleares in logging mear streams on non-federal lands in order to avoid exceeding the waterboad scale waste load stentfield in the TMDLs. 1														
clederal lands, so there needs to be a corresponding decrease in logging near streams on mon-flectary lands in morter to avoid secucing the waters deceding the waters decided scale waste load identified in the TADI s. Set														
streams on non-foderal lands in order to avoid exceeding the watershed scale wastelend defortfied in the TMDL 3. Focus on forest issues have been on shade/sediment. Also need large woody 4.5. S8-F. Exciss on forest issues have been on shade/sediment. Also need large woody 4.5. Oregon meeds greater controls on spraying chemicals such as pesticides and have prescribed and pesticides. Agriculture pesticides of the post of the pesticides of the post of the po														
waste load identified in the TMDLs. Forestry Degging Forestry De														
Focus on forced issues have been on shade/seediment, Also need large woody 4.5,						=								
SS-L obbis. SS-L Obbis. G Forestry General Forestry-persicides Agricultural process greater controls on spraying chemicals such as pesticides and G Forestry-pesticides Agricultural pesticides Agricultural pesticides Agricultural pesticides Gites issues we existing OR stratute for regulating wurp DEQ delegated authority to ODF/ODA (controlled by industry), luck of public participation, BOF stacked by G, 7 Greestry-General: Ag General: Office Greestry-Industrice Gites numerous statiles about inalequacy of OFPA and how its warse than federal 7 to Forestry-dear cut: Forestry-translabiles. Turnestry-translabiles. Turne	297								Forestry-logging					
Oregon Wild organization 3/20/14 58 H Clitzen 3/20/14 58 G Oregon beat season and participation organization						Focus on forest issues have been on shade/sediment. Also need large woody	4,5,							
Oregon wild organization 3/20/14 58-H interfedicise in coastal watersheds, especially near streams. OF Oregon Wild organization 3/20/14 58-H and neighboring states. Cites numerous studies about inadequacy of OFPA and how its worse than federal 1 Forestry clear cut: Forestry-inadiskites, Forestry-Pesticides; Ag-Pesticides and health in place because the state right and designated tans. Supports disapproval. Because 1) basic agricultural management measures for agricultural in place because the State fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law Schoolites, agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complained and in place because the State fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law Schoolites, Forestry districts in Controls for various claims in footnotes for various claims. OF Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute t	298				58-E	debris.	6							
Section Sect									Forestry-pesticides;					
Cites issues we existing OR struture for regulating wq. DFQ delegated authority to OFPA and how its worse than federal 7 to Forestry-clear cut; Forestry-clear cut; Forestry-clear cut; Forestry-plantian; Portsety-plantshides, Forestry-plantian; Portsety-proads and neighboring states. 200 Oregon Wild organization 3/20/14 58-81 and neighboring states. 201 Cites numerous studies about inadequacy of OFPA and how its worse than federal 7 to 1 Forestry-plantshides, Forestry-plantian; Portsety-proads and neighboring states. 202 Concerned about pesticide spraying. Secondhand account of citizens in western 1 and near county that had insecticited, show up in blood tests and became itl after pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide capsource. 203 Supports disapproval. Because 1) basic agricultural management measures are not in place and 2) current agricultural management measures are not in place and 2) current agricultural management agricultural management measures. 204 OR finits to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law Schoolly. 205 OR finits to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law Schoolly. 206 OR finite to adequately regulate CAFOs. Enforcement of agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complaint driven. In addition to manage NPDES programs. 205 OF complete the development of the proceed of the programs of the proceed of the proc						Oregon needs greater controls on spraying chemicals such as pesticides and			Agriculture-					
OFFODA (controlled by industry), lack of public participation, BOF stacked by 58 G pro-industry, ctc. Oregon Wild organization 3/20/14 58.H and neighboring states. Oregon Wild organization 3/20/14 58.H and neighboring states. Cites numerous studies about inadequacy of OFPA and how its worse than federal 7 to Forestry-landslides, Forestry-landslides, Forestry-roads Prosesty-prainin; Forestry-landslides, Forestry-roads Prosesty-prainin; Forestry-prainin; Fo	299				58-F	herbicides in coastal watersheds, especially near streams.	6		pesticides					
OFFODA (controlled by industry), lack of public participation, BOF stacked by 58 G pro-industry, ctc. Oregon Wild organization 3/20/14 58.H and neighboring states. Oregon Wild organization 3/20/14 58.H and neighboring states. Cites numerous studies about inadequacy of OFPA and how its worse than federal 7 to Forestry-landslides, Forestry-landslides, Forestry-roads Prosesty-prainin; Forestry-landslides, Forestry-roads Prosesty-prainin; Forestry-prainin; Fo						Cites issues w/ existing OR struture for regulating wq. DEQ delegated authority to								
Second Head									Forestry-General; Ag					
Oregon Wild organization 3/20/14 S8-H and neighboring states. Cities numerous studies about inadequacy of OFPA and how its worse than federal and neighboring states. Concerned about pesticide spraying. Secondhand account of citizens in western Lanc County that had insecticide straying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying done in sufficient to 1 protect water quality and designated uses Oregon does not have basic management measures for agricul	300				58-G		6,7							
Cites numerous studies about inadequacy of OFPA and how its worse than federal 7 to Forestry-inparian; Porestry-inparian; Pores									Forestry-clear cut:					
Oregon Wild organization 3/20/14 58-H Cites numerous studies about inadequacy of OFPA and how its worse than federal 7 to Forestry-riparian; Forestry-roads and neighboring states. Supports disapproval. Because 1) basic agricultural management measures are not in place and 2) current agricultural monpoint source controls are insulficient to protect water quality and designated uses Oregon does not have basic management measures for agricultural to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAPOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568-912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspects of an water quality monagement plan." O.R.S. § 568-912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspects of an water quality monagement plan." O.R.S. § 568-912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspects of an water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568-912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspects of an water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568-912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspects of an water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568-912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspects of an water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568-912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspects of an water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568-912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspects of an water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568-912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspects of an water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568-912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspects of an water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568-912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMAP plan." O.A.R. G03-090-000 (4). And this v									<u> </u>					
Oregon Wild organization 3/20/14 58-H and neighboring states. Concerned about pesticide spraying. Secondhand account of citizens in western Lane County that had insecticide show up in blood tests and became ill after exposure. Supports disapproval. Because 1) basic agricultural management measures are not protect water quality and designated uses OR fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law School's programs. OR good does not have basic management measures for agricultural manage NPDES programs. OR good does not have basic management measures for agricultural on manage NPDES programs. Agricultural Water Quality management measures for agricultural water and a dargely complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090, 0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement						Cites numerous studies about inadequacy of OFPA and how its worse than federal	7 to		•					
Since Sinc	301	Oregon Wild	organization	3/20/14	58-H		11		• •					
Lanc County that had insecticide show up in blood tests and became ill after pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide specially and designated uses Supports disapproval. Because 1) basic agricultural management measures are not in place and 2 current agricultural monpoint source controls are insufficient to protect water quality and designated uses OR fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law School's Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES programs. Oregon does not have basic management measures for agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complaint-driven. In addition to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complaints against certain (CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspect of a water quality management plan." O.R. \$ 588.912(1), "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090, 0000 (4), And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement	301	oregon with	organization	3/20/11	2011				1 oresity rougs					
pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide cyposure. Ag-Pesticides Ag-Pesticides Ag-Pesticides Ag-Pesticides Ag-Pesticides Ag-Pesticides									Forestry-Pesticides					
Support dispersion Support					59-A		1		•					
Supports disapproval. Because 1) basic agricultural management measures are not in place and 2) current agricultural nonpoint source controls are insufficient to protect water quality and designated uses OR fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law School's Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES programs. Oregon does not have basic management measures for agriculture in place because the State fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Enforcement of agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complaint-driven. In addition to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complaints report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090. 0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement	202	(b) (6)	citizan	3/20/14					Ag-1 esticides	unclear			1	
60-A in place and 2) current agricultural nonpoint source controls are insufficient to protect water quality and designated uses OR fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law School's Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES Animal Law Clinic found that ODA is unstable for category is outside of CZARA's scope] Co-C	302		CITIZCII	3/20/14		*				uncicai			1	
protect water quality and designated uses OR fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law School's Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES programs. Oregon does not have basic management measures for agriculture in place because the State fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Enforcement of agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complaint-driven. In addition to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspects of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090. 0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement					60 A		1		A a Canaral					
OR fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law School's Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES programs. Oregon does not have basic management measures for agriculture in place because the State fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Enforcement of agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complaint-driven. In addition to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.A.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090. 0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement	202				00-A		1		Ag-General			1		
Ag-General	303							-	DI	-		1		
programs. Oregon does not have basic management measures for agriculture in place because the State fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Enforcement of agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complaint-driven. In addition to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090. 0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement					60 D				_					
Oregon does not have basic management measures for agriculture in place because the State fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Enforcement of agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complaint-driven. In addition to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090. 0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement Ag-General Letter contains citations in footnotes for various claims Ag-EP&Ms					60-B		2							
because the State fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Enforcement of agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complaint-driven. In addition to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090-0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement Ag-General Ag-General Ag-General	304					programs.		-	CZAKA'S SCOPE]	-				
because the State fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Enforcement of agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complaint-driven. In addition to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090-0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement Ag-General Ag-General Ag-General						Oregon does not have basic management measures for agriculture in place								
water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complaint-driven. In addition to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090-0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement Ag-General Ag-General Ag-General														
numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090- 0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement Ag-General Letter contains citations in footnotes for various claims Ag-EP&Ms														
CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090-0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely various claims Ag-EP&Ms					60-C		2	Letter contains	Ag-General					
complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090-0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement														
Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090-0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement														
Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090- 0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement	305					complained report that ODIT is unresponsive and dishinssive of their contents.								
voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090-0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement						Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely		various Cialliis						
"Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090-0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement														
"Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090- 0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement					60 D	enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1).	2		A ~ ED 0-N 4-					
0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement					ี		. 3		Ag-EP&MS					
[306					authority to regulate nonpoint sources as EPA/NOAA requires.								

	Α	В	С	D	E	F I	G	Н І	J	К	L	М	N
307	.,	Socially			60-F	Oregon fails to ensure basic management measures are in place					-		' '
308 309		Responsible Agriculture Program	organization	3/20/14	60-E	Oregon's CNPCP contains insufficient measures to achieve and maintain water quality standards and protect designated uses. Additional management are needed.	3	Ag-Add MMs	for				
310													
311													
312					61-A	Supports disapproval	1				1		
313	(1	b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	61-B	Oregon has failed to control NPS from timber harvest and the construction and maintenance of logging roads. Last year I participated in steelhead spawning surveys on the Salmonberry River in Oregon's coast range. I saw the results of poorly planned logging roads on steep slopes where whole hillsides had slid down into the creek below after heavy winter rains. I do not believe that Oregon's Forest Practices Act is adequately protecting the riparian areas which results in degraded water quality for fish/wildlfe and drinking water.	1	Foresty - Roads; Forestry - Landslides	for				
314	-		Citizen	3/20/14	62-A	Supports disapproval	1		101		1		
315					62-B	Concerned with logging impacts from pesticide/herbicide use and habitat "mistreatment". There should be no aerial spraying close to known drinking water sources.	1	Forestry - Pesticides			1		
316					62-C	Need more regular monitoring of drinking water for pesticides/herbicides; designated uses and water quality standards in coastal watersheds are not protected.	1	Monitoring - Improvements needed; Forestry - Pesticides					
317			citizen	3/20/14	62-D	There should be larger buffers to protect from temperature impacts, particularly in the Siletz River watershed.	2	Forestry - Riparian	for				
318			citizen	2/26/14	63-A 63-B	Supports disapproval Concerned with logging impacts, particularly from clearcutting and resultant hillside erosion, which may pollute our drinking water spring. We had severe clearcutting around our private forest and this caused substantial loss of river quality.	1	Forestry - General; Forestry - landslides	for		1		
320					63-C	Inadequate WQ monitoring of logging impacts	1	Monitoring - Improvements needed					
321					63-D	Inadequate protection and restoration of riparian areas	1	Forestry-riparian					
322					63-E	Disruption from tree harvests and road construction	1	Forestry-roads; clear	cut				
323					76-A	Concerned about pesticide spraying. They have tested posititive for pesticide/herbicides even though they run an organic farm.	1	First-hand account Forestry - Pesticides	unclear			1	
324					76-B	Would like to incorporate many other studies/reports by reference (included links in letter)	1	Forestry - Pesticides					
325			citizen	3/20/14	76-C	Supports pesticide-free buffers around schools, such as near Triangle Lake.	2	Forestry - Pesticides					
326	-		organization		65-A	Supports disapproval					1		
327					65-B	Comments are limited to highlighting the inadequacy of OWRD's Water Use Basin Program as support for meeting the 6217(g) agricultural management measures and conditions placed on Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Program	1	Ag - General					
321			1			incasares and conditions placed on oregon's Coastar Nonpoint Fogram							

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
328				65-C	NOAA/EPA findings incorrectly state that OWRD's "Water Use Basin Program supports the irrigation measure by establishing sub-basin classifications and limits on water use to ensure water quality and habitat for sensitive and endangered species is not impaired." This statement is not supported by the contents of any of the coastal Basin Programs. (Attached for reference). To the contrary, Oregon's Basin Programs do not ensure, either legally or practically, that water quality and habitat for sensitive and endangered species will not be impaired. We urge EPA/NOAA to take a close look at the deficiencies of the Basin Programs before attributing any water quality or fish habitat protection value to them as a measure in support of Oregon's agricultural conditions.	1	pp. 2-3: "For a contemporary view on the Basin Plans, please see OWRD's "Place-Based Integrated Water Resources Planning, Initial Observations from the State of Oregon" (March 10, 2014)	Ag - General					
329				65-D	Oregon's rules provide no assurance that water use will be adequately limited to maintain those minimum flows	2		Ag - General					
330				65-E	Basin Programs also fail in practice to protect minimum perennial streamflows and instream rights held by OWRD for the protection of aquatic wildlife and water quality.	2		Ag - General					
331	Water Watch of Oregon		3/20/14		EPA should disapprove Oregon's agricultural measures The lack of protection offered by Oregon's Water Use Basin Programs for preservation of aquatic life and designated uses should be acknowledged in the agencies' final determination	2,3		Ag - General	for				
332		Salmon		67-A	Supports disapproval although regrets loss of funding.	1		Forestry - General			1		
333		Center, Northwest Guides and			Oregon does not have a program in place to control nonpoint pollution sufficiently to meet the additional CZARA MM needed to attain/maintain wqs and protect designated uses, particularly due to logging on private lands.	1		Forestry - Roads; Forestry - Landslides					
334		Anglers		67-D	Observed sediment loads from forest roads and landslides	1							
335		Association, Oregon		67-D	State's own Ripstream study note inadequacy of buffers to control temperature and other WQ impacts	1	Many aerial photos provided to						
336	b) (6)	Chapter of the Sierra		67-E	Additional MMs needed for foresty such as what is described on pg. 7-12 of proposed findings.	1		Forestry - General					
337		Club,		67-F	Used Salmonberry River in north Coast range as prime example of impacts.	2		Forestry - General					
338		Pacific Rivers Council,	3/20/14		Refutes OR's claims the land use laws provide sufficient protection even if they've helped prevent sprawl, still need to control forest industry that is damaging remote watersheds	11		Forestry - General	for				
339				69-A 69-B	Supports disapproval Waters are at risk from pesticides and other toxic chemicals, oil and grease, sediment, salts, excess bacteria and nutrients released from agricultural and timber lands, from roads and urban areas, from construction and mining areas, from eroding stream banks, livestock, and faulty septic systems.	1		General - Habitat protection	for		1		
341	Lane County Audobon Society of Oregon	organization	3/20/14	69-C	Especially concerned about inadequate buffer for aerial spray pesticide application. Oregon has an inadequately small no-spray buffer zone around fish-bearing streams and no effective program to protect non-fish bearing streams.	2		Forestry - Pesticides; Forestry - Riparian					
342				70-A	Supports disapproval	1	Report is attached; many references cited				1		

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	н і	J	K	L I	М	N
343				70-B	Our comments address the inadequacies of Oregon's existing program to implement the required CZARA management measures, its inability and disinterest in evaluating the sufficiency of those management measures to ensure pesticides do not violate Oregon's water quality standards and impair its designated uses, its lack of a monitoring program to support such an evaluation, and its lack of practices that protect those designated uses.	1	General - Pesticides; General - Monitoring improvements needed					
344 345				70-C	Beyond Toxics report on pesticide/herbicide use in forestry shows that FPA lacks any program to protect Oregon streams and their beneficial uses (see report attached). Requires no pesticide buffer on non-fish streams even though neighboring states (WA, ID) require 25ft buffers. In non-fish bearing streams, amphibians and crawfish are affected by pesticide application Unknown risks from synergistic interactions of chemicals mixed together.	2 2,3	Forestry - General; Forestry - Pesticides; Forestry - Riparian Forestry - Pesticides					
346				70-E	Oregon has inadequate protection of fish-bearing streams and drinking water compared to neighboring states.	3	Forestry - Pesticides; Forestry - Riparian					
347				70-F	Oregon has no program to determine the presence of forestry pesticides in the air and resulting in drift and deposition onto surface waters and soils.	3,4	Pesticides - Monitoring					
348				70-G	Herbicides (e.g., Atrazine) can persist in water and can bind with soil particles, so under OR's FPA, pesticides such as atrazine are sprayed into dry channels that become active in wetter months, carrying herbicides downstream to fish.	4	Forestry - Pesticides					
349				70-H	State doesn't have a program to protect groundwater/drinking water.	4	Pesticides - Monitoring					
350				70-I	The EPA should require ODF, in consultation with DEQ, to exercise their authority to review, comment, and require modifications of forest vegetation management written plans based on an environmental and water quality risk assessment and proof of compliance with state and federal laws.	4,5	General - Pesticides					
351				70-J	Oregon must develop a research program to determine if aerial application of herbicides is necessary for timber production. Oregon needs additional management measures to protect uses and water quality from pesticide drift.	5	Monitoring - Improvement needed; Forestry - Pesticides					
352				70-K	Oregon has no program to determine if federal label laws are being complied with.		Pesticides - Monitoring					
353	Beyond Toxics	organization	3/18/14	70-L	Evidence suggests that federal label restrictions for Atrazine, an Oregon-regulated herbicide, are not being followed. Also, poor record-keeping on pesticide applications	6	Pesticides - Monitoring/ Enforcement	for				
354				77-A	Against disapproval. Believe Oregon's Forest Practices Act, and its implementing regulations, comply with CZARA requirements.	1	General		1			
355				77-B	NOAA/EPA 1998 conditional approval findings and 2013 proposed finding that asserts Oregons needs additional MMs for forestry failed to reference any WQS and included very sparse analysis as to why these MM were needed.	1, 2	General					
356				77-C	Original Findings and the Proposed Findings are both legally and scientifically deficient	2	General					

А	В	С	D E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
357			77-D	CZARA statute requires a 3-step analysis for the states to take before additional MMs can be imposed, including: 1) identify land uses which may cause or contribute significantly to a degradation of: (A) those coastal waters where there is a failure to attain or maintain applicable water quality standards or protect designated uses, as determined by the State pursuant to its water quality planning processes; or (B) those coastal waters that are threatened by reasonably foreseeable increases in pollution loadings from new or expanding sources. 2) identify Critical Coastal Areas (CCAs); 3) identify additional MMs within CCAs to address impairments and are necessary to attain WQS. This authority to determine additional MMs is reserved exclusively for the state, not the federal agencies. Further, CZARA doesn't require states to adopt additional MMs that "may be necessary" or are "arguably necessary" to meet WQS, only ones that actually "ARE necessary." NOAA/EPA have provided no indication that their self-selected additional MMs will enable the state to meet WQS.	3, 4	This is a very significant comment for the legal team to address. 22-page letter is signed by Heath Curtiss, General Counsel & Director of Government Affairs, OFIC. CC to Gov. Kitzhaber, Richard Whitman & 2 state agency directors (DEQ & DOF)	General - Legal; General - Problems with CZARA					
358			77-E	To overcome Oregon's determination that a particular land use does not contribute significantly to a degradation of water quality standards, the Agencies would need to produce evidence to the contrary. Likewise, to overcome Oregon's determination that additional management measures are not "necessary to achieve and maintain water quality standards," the burden would again be on the Agencies to produce evidence to the contrary.	4		General - Legal; General - Problems with CZARA					
359			77-F	Oregon's Forest Practices Act establishes a dynamic program that responds promptly and deliberately to environmental issues as they arise With respect to water quality, the Oregon Forest Practices Act (the "OFPA") mandates that the Board of Forestry adopt standards for forest practices that "provide for the overall maintenance" of "water resources, including but not limited to sources of domestic drinking water." ORS 527.710(2)(b). The OFPA also charges the Board of Forestry with establishing "best management practices and other rules applying	4, 5, 6		Forestry - General; Forestry - Legal					
360			77-G	FPA requires BMP monitoring with adaptive feedback. Board has charged ODF with pesticide use monitoring, OAR 629-620-0700(1), and landslides and public safety monitoring. OAR 629-623-0000(4). In each circumstance, the Board will consider the monitoring results and take appropriate action, including when necessary, development of new forest practice rules. Cites example of 2002 road runoff drainage study that led to improved rules. FP Rules have evolved over time.	5, 6	See also App. A for how FP Rules have evolved over time.	Forestry - General; Forestry - Legal					

	Α	В	С	D	Е		G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
361					77-H	NOAA/EPA findings that that Oregon's existing measures for protection of medium and small fish bearing streams (type-F) and non-fish bearing streams (type-N) are not adequate to protect water quality and designated uses relies on an uncritical view of the 15-year-old Ripstream IMST, and 12 year-old Sufficiency Analysis, and fails to consider the most current and relevant research. At best, it is an incomplete and inaccurate assessment of the most recent science findings. At worst, it represents a fundamental misunderstanding of the science.	7	Discussion of other research findings continues on p. 8 and following	s Forestry - Riparian					
362					77-I	NOAA/EPA misinerpreted the RipStream Study findings. See different RipStream conclusions on p. 8.	8		Forestry - Riparian					
363					77-I	The lack of any discussion about findings from the Watersheds Research Cooperative (the "WRC") represents a huge omission in the Agencies' analysis of the Oregon CNPCP. In the Sufficiency Analysis (ODF and ODEQ 2002) there is a discussion about the adequacy of riparian buffers along small type-N and small and medium type-F streams.		Effects on temperature noted in WRC study are discussed on pp. 10-11. WQ & wood recruitment discussed on pp. 12-13.	Forestry - Riparian					
364					77-J	We disagree that the FPA is not protective of high-risk landslide prone areas. in evaluating the results from Turner et. al. (2010), it is misleading to focus only on landslide density relationships. Rather, it is important to also consider the total number of landslides triggered during major storms. While landslide densities have been shown to be higher in steep terrain with young forest stands, the proportion of this area across mountainous terrain is potentially very low, so that potential increases in sediment delivery to public resources from landslides triggered in these areas is also proportionately small Channel alterations from debris flows are a naturalhabitat-forming process and not necessarily negative.	14, 15, 16		Forestry - Landslides					
365					77-K	EPA argues that Oregon must have additional management measures for forestry to protect HLHLs, to maintain good water quality, and to ensure that designated uses are protected. However, EPA does not offer any objective evidence that these additional measures are necessary. We respectfully suggest that EPA consider a landscape-scale view over long timeframes as the proper context for evaluating whether water quality standards and designated uses are impaired or attained. Disturbance and recovery processes are an essential part of these landscape-driven forest ecosystems.	16, 17		Forestry - Landslides					
366					77-L	From a strictly legal perspective, the Agencies have produced no evidence (much less, substantial evidence), that landslides resulting from forest management activities are causing water quality standard exceedances, or negatively impacting aquatic life more than landslides do under background conditions. Without more, a decision to disapprove Oregon's CNPCP would not withstand judicial review.	17		Forestry - Landslides					
367					77-M	Roads: The Agencies "remain concerned" (about forest roads delivering sediment into streams) without citing a single source indicating a problem exists, without citing any water quality standard or beneficial use the rules fail to protect, indeed without citing a single reason for concern.	17		Forestry - Roads; Forestry - Legal					

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
368				77-N	Roads: There have been significant new rule revisions in 2002 and 2003, and broad success under the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds, all detailed thoroughly in the State's July submission to the Agencies.	17		Forestry - Roads					
369				77-O	The agencies allege that the state has not provided "a commitment to exercise its back-up authority to require implementation of additional management measures for forestry roads, as needed." This is ludicrous. The rule revisions in 2002 and 2003 indicate that the OFPA is working precisely as it should, and evidence a continuing commitment by the Board of Forestry to implement additional management measures as needed. One would be hard-pressed to imagine better evidence of the Board's commitment. If there were additional data indicating that forest roads continue to "cause or contribute significantly to a degradation of coastal waters"—an issue ODF is actively monitoring under OAR 629-635-0110—then the Board would initiate a new rulemaking, as it has done repeatedly in the past.	17		Forestry - Roads					
370				77-P	The Agencies also assert that the State has not provided sufficient data to the Agencies to document effectiveness of voluntary efforts under the Oregon Plan. The Agencies suggest that an extensive (and expensive) inventory and reporting program for forest roads is necessary "to determine the extent of forestry road miles not meeting current road standards within the nonpoint management area." Here, the Agencies presume a problem exists (again, without citation to a single source) until the State can prove otherwise. However, nothing in CZARA requires that a state prove a negative. Additionally, data shows that salmon stocks are recovering since the 1990s. Finally, we are not aware of any scientific evidence indicating that habitat and water quality conditions have materially improved in Washington State due to implementation of their road maintenance and abandonment program Alleging that Oregon's rules are insufficient without reason, and without any	18		Forestry - Roads					
371				77-Q	support, is the definition of arbitrary, and a disapproval action on this basis would not survive even cursory judicial scrutiny.	19		Forestry - Roads; Forestry - Legal					
372				77-R	Water quality monitoring of a type-N (non-fish bearing) forest stream during and after herbicide spray operations (applied under OFPA rules and guidelines and FIFRA/labeling regulations) shows no evidence of detrimental impacts. Nevertheless, Oregon continues to support monitoring that would identify potential problems should they arise Recent monitoring has not found a problem with contemporary forest aerial herbicide spray operations; in fact just the opposite. Oregon is currently monitoring for over 100 pesticides, which will allow the state to respond should herbicides be identified at unacceptable levels.	19,	Research supporting OFIC/OSWA comments presented on pp. 20-21.	Forestry - Pesticides					
373				77-S	Since 1998 there have been significant changes in how chemicals are applied to forests under FIFRA. Findings from the Spray Drift Task Force and other research led to revisions in chemical labeling. Pesticide applicators are licensed under FIFRA and recent court rulings have further increased regulation of applicators and land owners. Oregon's Forest Practices Act rule guidelines state that applications must comply with the most stringent of requirements of either the label, or forest practice rules and guidelines.	19		Forestry - Pesticides					

	Δ	R	C	П	F	F	G	н і	1	K	1	М	N
		Oregon Forest				ODF has developed extensive guidelines for implementing the Oregon Forest				, K		141	1
		Industries and				Practices Act rules for herbicide applications to forest lands. See Oregon							
		Oregon Small			77-T	Department of Forestry, Forest Practice Rule Guidance: Chemicals and Other	19	Forestry - Pesticides					
		Woodlands				Petroleum Products (2009), available at http://goo.gl/uv8oIH. Also cite pesticide							
374		Association	organization	3/20/14		monitoring studies that show no significant impact.			Against				
						Agree with NOAA/EPA that state needs to do more to address osds, new devel,				L			
						and ag but does not support penalities because they will impact important pro-							
						environment programs. Rather hopes state will make improvements to programs							
375					78-A	to avoid disapproval.	1	Decision	Unclear (ag	gainst per	nalities)	1	
						Saw a draft of guidance to urban DMAs regarding post-construction stormwater				_			
						management, and we believe it will be a helpful document. However, DEQ has							
						not demonstrated							
						that it has the ability to educate DMAs or ensure that the guidance is							
						implemented. DEQ's basin coordinators are spread too thin and the agency lacks							
						the capacity and perhaps the expertise to provide technical assistance to urban							
376					78-B	DMAs to ensure that TMDLs are implemented.	1	New Development					
						We believe Oregon should require urban DMAs to adopt specific post-							
						construction stormwater management strategies similar to those required in Phase							
377					78-C	II MS4 permits, rather than only recommending that they do	2	New Development					
						DEQ has no way of measuring whether the voluntary OSDS program results in an							
						increase in onsite system inspections. We still think there is a need for regular							
						inspections of existing septic systems, whether it takes place at the time of							
378					78-D	property transfer or at a different time.	2	OSDS					
						We would like to see Oregon DEQ take a more proactive role in establishing							
						similar programs in areas where septic systems are impacting water quality –							
						increasing onsite system inspections as well as financing repairs, and measuring							
379					78-E	the program's effectiveness	2	OSDS					
						Oregon is currently failing to protect water quality standards and beneficial uses in							
						agricultural areas in our coastal watersheds, including habitat necessary to the							
						survival of native fish and to support both recreational and commercial fisheries.							
						This is due to the failure of the state's agricultural water quality program to							
					70 F	control run-off pollution from riparian areas and to control erosion and sediment	2	Ag general; Ag-					
380					78-F	from agricultural lands on fish bearing streams.	3	buffers					
						It is suphished a decided and has Owners Decided as CA and a few (ODA)							
						It is publicly acknowledged by Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) and							
						Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) staff that 100% landowner							
						compliance with current agricultural water quality management area rules alone is		A a gamanal: A a					
201					78-G	not sufficient to meet Water Quality Standards, including TMDL Load Allocations. No restoration of rip. vegetation is required by AWQA rules.	3	Ag general; Ag- buffers					
381					/o-U	ODA's Water Quality Management Program does not ensure landowner	3	butters					
						compliance with the admittedly insufficient rules. Until recently, compliance with							
202					78-J	the area rules was only investigated if a signed complaint was lodged.							
382			1		/ O-J	uic area ruics was only investigated if a signed complaint was louged.							

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
3,8	3				78-H	ODA has recently developed a new strategy for its water quality program to determine compliance with the rules. This is an important step forward. However, there is still a serious scale problem with the program's ability to ensure compliance with the rules. Under ODA's current plan to assess agricultural landowner compliance with the area rules by 6th field HUC watershed, it can assess compliance in 6-12 6th field HUCs/biennium. At this rate, ODA will be able to assess compliance with its (insufficient) rules in approximately 1500 6th field HUC watersheds containing agricultural land uses statewide in 250 years. This is not a reasonable timeframe to ensure compliance with the rules.			Ag - general					
38	4	Oregon Environmental Council	organization	3/20/14	78-I	ODA plans to rely on voluntary actions by landowners described in its unenforceable Area Plans to bridge this performance gap between the rules and meeting water quality standards. However, ODA does not have an implementation plan to ensure these voluntary actions occur. Oregon has not quantified the level of additional landowner actions, or their nature, necessary to bridge this gap between compliance with the rules and achieving TMDL Load Allocations.	4		Ag - general					
38	5	Oregon Environmental Council	organization	3/23/11	78-k	Oregon is not reliably or adequately controlling run-off pollution from agricultural lands due to agency reliance on insufficient rules, inadequate enforcement of the rules and lock of an implementation plan with specific timelines and goals to enlist agricultural landowners in the voluntary actions necessary to protect and restore riparian vegetation, prevent erosion and reduce bacteria run-off into local creeks and rivers.			125 Series III					
38	6				71-A	The AWQMP (and AWQMA Rules) meets and exceeds the federal statutory and regulatory requirements of CZARA	2, 11, 12, 13, 14		Ag - General; Ag MMs (pp. 11-14); Ag - Pesticides (p.13)				1	
38	7				71-B	Agriculture land use represents approximately 5% of the land uses within the coastal zone. The primary agricultural land use within the coastal zone is pasture/hay agriculture, not crop land, which minimizes WQ impacts.	2		Ag - General					
38	8				71-C	Most, if not all, agriculture landowners are in compliance with the AWQMP rules and, by complying with these rules, meet or exceed CZARA requirements applicable to agriculture. And, as explained below, for any agriculture landowners that are not in compliance with the AWQMP, the State has a process in place to achieve compliance with voluntary and regulatory programs.	2		Ag - General					
38	9				71-D	CZARA only requires implementation of economically achievable MMs ("economically achievable measures for the control of the addition of pollutants from existing and new categories and classes of nonpoint sources of pollution, which reflect the greatest degree of pollutant reduction achievable through the application of the best available nonpoint pollution control practices, technologies, processes, siting criteria, operating methods, or other alternatives.")	3		General					

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	K	L	М	N
390					71-E	Notes the same arguments as OFIC RE: CCAs/add MM are developed by specific state-driven process. OR has not designated critical coastal areas or identified new agriculture land uses or a substantial expansion of existing agriculture land uses that require additional management measures. Therefore, additional management measures for agriculture are unnecessary for CNPCP approval.	3,4	Add'l MMs not needed					
391					71-F	NOAA/EPA don't provide scientific data or substantial evidence that identifies agriculture land uses as a cause or significant contributor to water quality impairment in Oregon's coastal streams. There is no sound scientific evidence to demonstrate that agriculture lands within the coastal zone in fact cause or significantly contributing to water quality degradation. ODA is required to regulate, based on science, those agriculture activities that are causing the type of water pollution that prohibits the State from achieving and maintaining water quality standards.	4						
392					71-G	As explained in Section III, ODA has the enforcement authority necessary to ensure compliance with watershed basin rules on the coast and throughout the State of Oregon. While opponents of the AWQMP highlight the fact that ODA has only taken a few enforcement actions, implying that ODA is not requiring compliance, nothing could be farther from the truth. The truth is that ODA works directly with land owners in noncompliance to make certain land use changes before enforcement is necessary.	5	Ag - EP&Ms					
393					71-H	Nowhere does CZARA or Section 6217(g) unconditionally require: (1) riparian buffers on agriculture land, (2) that landowners undertake efforts to restore lands to pre -agricultural uses and methods (removing agriculture from the land), (3) management measures that will not result in a reduction of nonpoint source pollution, (4) new or ad hoc water quality standards for pesticides, sediment, or any other listed pollutants, or (5) landowners to change land uses, implement management measures, or otherwise employ management measures that are not "economically achievable."	6	Ag - General; Ag - buffers; Ag - Pesticides; Ag - Add'l MMs					
394					71-I	Only after the State identifies land uses that cause or significantly contribute to water quality impairments, the state must then implement additional management measures if necessary to achieve and maintain applicable water quality standards. For the reasons explained below, Oregon's AWQMP meets and implements the 6217(g) requirements and has a process in place to implement additional management measures if necessary.	7	Ag - Add'l MMs (not needed)					
395					71-J	6217(g) "offer[s] State officials a number of options and permit them considerable flexibility in selecting management measures that are appropriate for their State"20 Further, the 6217(g) guidance suggests management measures but these are written to allow flexibility in implementation. 21 Contrary to claims by critics of the Oregon AWQMP, this means that EPA and NOAA can and must approve state programs that address water quality impairments from certain land uses even where they do not employ the precise management measures outlined in the 6217(g) guidance.	7	General - Holding to higher standard; General - Problems with CZARA					

	Α	B	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	K	L	М	N
396					71-K	In areas where an area plan and rules are required, ODA may compel a landowner "to perform those actions on the landowner's land necessary to prevent and control water pollution from agriculture activities" so long as the practice is a factor in causing water quality standards to be exceeded." This provides ODA the authority to require management measures that meet the requirements of 6217(g) or impose additional management measures if necessary.	8	Ag - EP&Ms					
397					71-L	Using the process of identifying agriculture practices that do in fact contribute to water quality problems and investing in management measures proven to reduce or mitigate pollutant loadings, as well as measures that are achievable because of cost and technology, the State can more efficiently allocate resources for the betterment of coastal waterways. This is precisely the outcome envisioned by the sponsors of the CZARA and is consistent with the statutory language.	8	Ag - General					
398					71-M	The proposed agencies' finding references the coho salmon listings and draft recovery plan findings. These documents' references to agriculture impacts to water quality are limited, based on opinion, anecdotal evidence and are also unsupported by scientific fact or data. For that reason, we request that the agencies remove this assumption or clearly explain that it is a concern that has not been verified with data or science, and therefor may not be a valid concern.	9	Ag - General					
399					71-N	Oregon has developed water quality standards designed to protect designated uses, which in most cases include coho salmon and other endangered/threatened fish species. As referenced above, Oregon's AWQMA is designed to ensure agriculture activities do not inhibit the State from meeting those water quality standards. Water quality standards are required to protect designated uses, fish. Therefore, Oregon's program adequately addresses agriculture activities to ensure the protection of fish species, including coho salmon.	9	Ag - General					
400					71-O	Most ambient water quality monitoring in region reporting fair to excellent water quality. Sites with poor condition are not due to ag activities.	9	Ag - General	-				
401					71-P	The AWQMP Processes and Enforcement Mechanisms Satisfies CZARA and the 6217(g) Management Measures Area Plans consist of voluntary measures and strategic goals; area rules implement the Area Plans and are ODA's backstop authority to ensure compliance with the AWQMA Today, each of Oregon's coastal agriculture water quality plans include management measures that directly reference the 6217(g) guidance and include additional goals for improving watersheds. These plans far exceed that which is required under CZARA.	10	Ag - EP&Ms					
402					71-Q	While it is true that each state must have an enforceable, nonpoint source water pollution program, it is not true that individual states must meet or exceed an enforcement threshold or number of citations issued. Instead, CZARA requires that the State and its designated water quality agencies possess the regulatory authority to enforce, at a minimum, a water quality program that meet or exceed the requirements set forth in 16 U.S.C. 1455b. Furthermore, as ODA demonstrated to the agencies in Oregon's July 2013 CNPCP submission, it has used that authority to enforce AWQMP rules where necessary and appropriate.	14,1	Ag - General (Enforcement)					

	Α	l B	С	D	F	F F	G	н і	1	К	1 1	М	N
403					71-R	Refutes concern noted that AWQMP do not require buffers or otherh specific requirments. Notes that CZARA does not specifically require riparian buffers for ag and doing so, would be taking a "one-size-fits-all" approach that goes against the inherant flexibility CZARA provides states.	15	General - One-size- fits-all; Ag - General			-		
404		Oregon Farm Bureau, Oregon			71-S	Biennial reviews of AWQMA plans provide a tracking mechanism. According to ODA, ~18 biennial reviews are conducted annually. In addition ODA is currently creating a more formalized process for tracking program implementation and effectiveness – known as the Strategic Implementation Areas and Focus Areas processes. Also, in 2012, Oregon began an Enterprise Monitoring Initiative to maximize statewide efforts for environmental protection and restoration. This initiative will monitor waterways that pass through agriculture lands and can also be used to inform the effectiveness of the AWQMA.	16	Ag - General (tracking)					
405		Cattlemen's Association, Oregonians for Food and Shelter, Oregon Seed Commission, Oregon Dairy Farmers Association, Oregon Wheat Growers League	organization	3/20/14	71-T	NOAA/EPA assert: AWQMA planning and enforcement does not address "legacy" issues created by agriculture activities that are no longer occurring. Yet, neither CZARA nor the 6217(g) guidance define legacy issues or require that state CNPCPs address legacy issues. Nevertheless, OWEB invests \$ to address legacy ag issues. Furthermore, Oregon has developed processes for identifying opportunities to enhance and restore watersheds, including "legacy" issues, through the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds, the Oregon Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Guide, OWEB riparian restoration projects, Area Plans, and many other federal, public and private partnerships. These programs are successful due to the voluntary efforts of many Oregon agriculture landowners.	17	Ag - Legacy	unkn				
406					72-A	Member of the Upper Willamette & Upper Siuslaw Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Local Advisory Committees. Met annually since then with our state and local officials, the Oregon Department of Agriculture, the Department of Environmental Quality(DEQ), and East Lane (county) Soil and Water Conservation District to be advised on the current status of the management plan. The committee was instructed that our plan would be complaint driven, and compliance voluntary. I have been informed that three fines have been imposed over the last 11 years. We were also told we were not allowed to consider pesticides as a pollutant. The state still does not consider pesticides as pollutants, but considers streamside plantings to be sufficient to filter anything including pesticides. I am told they do not test the water for pesticides.	1	Ag - General; Ag - Pesticides				1	
407		(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	72-B	EPA & NOAA have found that Oregon forests have adequate stream buffers for pesticides on salmon bearing streams. How was this determined? Seasonal and non-fish bearing streams have not been considered. Isn't this the water that feeds the fish-bearing streams and rivers? Stream buffers and logging practices in this state are a jokea sad joke.	1	Forestry - Pesticides; Forestry - Riparian	unkn				

А	В	С	D	E	F I	G	Н	J l	К	L	М	N
408				73-A	Use data to uniformly establish, prioritize, and track programmatic progress towards water quality goals. Need better effectiveness monitoring to be able to make adapative changes as needed to voluntary and other programs. Cites ag, in particular. Need better science to inform implementation targets and determine how well programs are working. (Ex. TFT's recent use of LiDAR to determine ability of buffers to produce adequate shade). Moving forward with new Ag regs without first understanding the gap between the problem and current conditions and without data-based benchmarks for chipping away at the problem will only perpetuate issues moving forward.	1, 2, 3	Monitoring - improvements needed; Ag - General	against	1			
409				73-В	Focus on outcomes and support the tools that achieve progress on the ground. The loss of approximately \$4 million per year in funding for on-the-ground restoration runs wholly counter to what all agree is needed on the ground.	3	Penalties - negative impacts					
410				73-C	controls, but instead contemplate future actions.	4, 5	General					
411	The Fresh Water Trust	organization	3/20/14	73-D	Requests that NOAA/EPA include TFT's 4/22/13 response to NWEA's March 13, 2013 to EPA Regarding Medford Permits to record. TFT's letter corrects factual and legal inaccuracies in NWEA's letter. Also should include TFT's 9/27/13 public comments to Oregon DEQ on Wilsonville's now-withdrawn water quality trading program as section III(C)(4)(d) of the Proposed Finding.	5, 6	General - Public comment					
412	Tillamook Bay Watershed Council				Need to review and add comments							
413			_	75-A 75-B	Suport disapproval (relunctantly) Ecological function of the Oregon Coast Range and Cascade Range Foothills has been and continues to be severely degraded by the harvest activities associated with industrial, clear-cut logging. Look in any direction and clear cuts abound. (Up to 120 acres are allowed by the OFPA!)	1	Forestry - Clear cuts	for		1		
415				75-C	Concerned about lack of riparian buffers in clear cuts and spraying.	1	Forestry - Riparian; Forestry - Clear Cuts; Forestry - Pesticides					
416				75-D	Inspected recent road failure: The down hill shoulder of this mid-slope sited road had broken away in several locations, due to fill slope failure. Mud and debris flows, some recent, were much in evidence, their effect on the watershed some two or three hundred feet below, clearly discernible. This phenomenon, obviously the result of heavy rain fall on deforested and very steep slopes, has repeated itself with regularity over the years I have been roaming these hills. It is a disgrace and impacts directly on water quality. The cost to repair the failure will be borne by U.S. taxpayers through BLM & FHA.	2	Forestry - Clear cuts; Forestry Landslides; Forestry - Roads					
417				75-E	Notes changes in tax law favor private timber industry and don't recoop enough \$ to help local govn't. Amounts to shameless taxpayer-funded PR propaganda for timber interests. Illustration of "deliberate lack of political will to fund the appropriate agencies and activities that are crucial to improving Oregon's degraded water quality.	2	Forestry - General					

	R				<u> </u>			,	IZ T	, 1	I	N.I
A	R	C	D	E	Points out that "NOAA noted in its fairly recent opinion about potential ESA	G	H I	J	K	L	IVI	IN .
					7 1		Earactus Canaral					
				75-F	delisting of the Coastal Coho Salmon, the benefits of such riparian restorations,	3	Forestry - General;					
					although worthwhile, were being rapidly outstripped by the effects of logging in		Forestry - Riparian					
418			F		the uplands. Nothing has changed."							
	Umpqua		0/00/14	75-G	Recognizes that disapproval will have financial consequences for 319 that their	3	Forestry - General;					
419	Watersheds, Inc.	organization	3/20/14		organization and others benefit from but its time for state to do something.		Penalties - Benefits					
					Notes that farmers and ranchers have installed many miles or piping for livestock		Ag-general; Ag-					
420				81-A	watering, and many miles of streambank are planted and fenced	1	buffers;	Against	1			
							Existing programs Ag-general; Ag-					
421			_	81-B	Pesticide Stewardship Programs, CAFO, and AQWMP already in place.	1	sufficient pesticides;					
							General-made					
							improvements in					
422				81-C	SWCDs and watershed councils are improving water quality in Oregon.	1	water quality					
	(h) (0)				Oregon complies with CZARA and disapproval would make it difficult to				T			
423	(b) (6)	citizen	3/17/14	81-D	improve environment.	1	Decision					
							Additional MMs Not					
424				79-A	Disagrees with proposed decision. Additional MMs for forestry are not needed.	1	Needed	Against	1			
425				79-B	Supports OFIC letter and statements they make	1	Forestry General					
					OFPA includes a specific mandate to the Board of Forestry to achieve and							
					maintain water quality standards, and provides the Oregon Department of Forestry							
					with enforcement authority. The EPA and NOAA have produced little							
					meaningful evidence that Oregon's forest practices rules currently fail to meet							
					these water quality and beneficial use objectives. To the contrary, there is a large							
					body of science indicating that modern Oregon forest practices are either neutral							
426				79-C	to positive in terms of their effect on aquatic life	2	Forestry General					
420				17 C	Oregon's forest sector has a 15-plus year history of superior voluntary riparian		Torestry General					
					watershed enhancement accomplishments. Restrictions/actions proposed by the							
					EPA and NOAA would stifle these valuable watershed improvements.							
					•							
					Additionally, the excessive restrictions envisioned by EPA and NOAA would							
				70 D	unintentionally smother the willing cooperative stewardship ethic common in the	2	Franks Birding					
427			-	79-D		2	Forestry - Riparian					
					EPA and NOAA's intended rigid, regulatory norms—such as excessive one-size-							
					fits-all singular distances—would stifle Oregon forest community's stewardship							
	Associated				ethic, and thereby reduce/or end the valuable contemporary investments in							
	Oregon Loggers,	organizatio			watershed enhancement experienced on Oregon forestlands (since the 1998 advent		General - one-size-					
428	Inc.	n	3/21/14	79-E	of the Oregon Plan for Salmon & Watersheds)	3	fits all					
					Oregon's existing land use planning system put in place by the 1973 Oregon							
					Legislature as Senate Bill 100 is an effective nonpoint source pollution							
					reduction program, and the State should be given credit for its success. It limits		General-made					
					new development in urban growth boundaries where sewer and stormwater		improvements to					
429				80-A	services are planned for.	1	Land Use water quality	unclear			1	

	A B	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
430				80-B	The first of three concerns is DEQ's proposed New Development Guidance for Urban and Rural Residential Areas. DEQ proposal to require all identified Designated Management Agencies (DMAs) to develop a more rigorous stormwater control program than is currently required of existing MS4 Phase II permittees (e.g., Corvallis, Bend, Medford) is not realistic or workable. The Coastal Zone listed communities, many of which are very small with extremely limited resources, cannot be expected to implement stormwater retrofit, hydromodification, and riparian protection/restoration programs. DEQ should consider expanding the coverage of the existing 1200C permit by lowering the acreage applicability, or using a similar approach as used in the 1200COLS permit. The 1200COLS permit was created to tackle water quality problems in the Columbia Slough and is a global discharge permit based on the			New Devel			_		
					1200Z industrial permits and applied to all significant dischargers evaluated in the		expand existing						
431				80-C	TMDL process.		permit coverage	New Devel					
					For sediment problems, DEQ should consider increased technical assistance and		expand existing						
432				80-D	compliance and enforcement of the 1200Z industrial permits.	2	permit coverage	New Devel					
422				80-E	DEQ should use its existing authority, expertise and permits more effectively instead of establishing a new regulatory requirement on small cities and counties that are not the main source of impairment, do not have the expertise, and cannot afford additional state-mandated programs.			New Devel					
433				80-E	+	4	2	New Devel					
434				80-F 80-G	The second of three concerns for NPS controls in Oregon's coastal zone is the need for improved compliance programs and metrics to monitor agricultural sources. An overall compliance strategy for ensuring that AWQM plans and rules are adequately implemented to effectively meet TMDL load allocations and water quality standards is needed. There must be a policy and process for proactive determination of the implementation of required elements of the Agriculture Water Quality Management Plan, and an enforcement response plan to correct instances of non-compliance. Oregon Department of Agriculture and Oregon DEQ's water quality monitoring programs should be specifically designed to evaluate the effectiveness of the agricultural area plans in meeting water quality standards and load allocations for water bodies with TMDLs	3	Ag compliance and implementation Ag monitoring	Ag-general Ag-general; Monitoring- improvements needed					
					The SB 1010 process at the Department of Agriculture should be directly linked to		Ag						
436				80-H		3	implementation	Ag-general					
437			_	80-I	SB 1010 requirements stop short of addressing 'legacy' conditions related to agricultural activities, and do not require active restoration only removal of conditions that impairs restoration. These policy gaps must be addressed if Oregon is to meet its water quality standards.	3	Ag implementation 3 for legacy	Ag-general; general- need to consider other issues					
438	Oregon Association of			80-J	The third of three concerns is the continued efforts to link the Oregon Forest Practices Act to water quality standards outcomes. They applaud the recent collaboration between the Oregon EQC and BOF to improvement communication and share data related to water quality compliance of the Oregon FPA and to understand how FPA can be used as a tool to meet Oregon WQS.		Pro-FPA compliance with WQS	Forestry-general					

Δ	R	C	D	F	F	G	Т	ī		K	1	М	N
	Clean Water	C			'		- 11	Forestry-general;	, ,	K		141	11
	Agencies, Legaue							Monitoring-					
	of Oregon Cities,				Efforts by ODF to monitor and improve forest practices should be encouraged and			improvements					
439	Special Districts			80-K	continued.		4	needed					
	Association of				Additional efforts are needed to address legacy road conditions and protection of			Forestry-roads;					
440		organization	3/21/14	80-L	non-fish bearing streams in oregon's forests.		4	Forestry-riparian					
	0.1811	8			Asks NOAA/EPA to give state additional time to meet remaining conditions; state	;		General-need more					
441				82-A	has already made good progress in meeting most of conditions.		1	time		1			
					7 6 1 6	1		Forestry-general;					
					Notes ODF has been doing good work to improve WQ, riparian habitat, and road	and		Forestry-riparian;					
442				82-B	improvements. Cites # of culverts replaced and other stats.	2		Forestry-roads					
							more returning	<u> </u>					
					Cites ODFW study that showed many out-migrating and returning salmon to		salmon in						
443				82-C	Tillamook State forest land. OR allows salmon harvest because #s are good.		2 Tillamook forest	General-salmon;					
								Forestry-general;					
					Asks NOAA/EPA to review Trask Study re: forestry practices and water quality			General-water					
444				82-D	that presents factual science. Our decision should be based on science.		2	quality					
					1			General-salmon;					
					Notes they have been part of group of federal, state, county and private citizen			General made					
					group that's been working to collaborative restore fish pass in Tillamook area.			improvements in					
445				82-E	Taking a novel approach and having good success.		2	water quality					
					Understand and appreciate NOAA/EPA efforts to comply with the law but ask			1 7					
	Tillamook Board				that agencies work with them and others in collaborate way to address issues			Penalties-negative					
446	of Commissions	organization	3/21/14	82-F	rather than take punitive action.		3	impacts; Decision	Against				
								Toxics/Pesticides;	<u> </u>				
447				50-A	Water shortages and toxins are big concerns as we enter "climate chaos".		1	climate change					
					Very concerned about pesticide spraying on private forestsimpacts humans,								
448	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	50-B	animals and organic farming.		1	Forestry-pesticides	Unclear			1	
					Support disapproval. There has been little progress on the development of Best			• •					
449				83-A	Management Practices in order to meet the requirements of the CZARA.		1	Decision-benefit			1		
					Oregon does not have a program in place to deal with nonpoint source pollution in	1							
					its coastal watersheds that is sufficient to carry out the CZARA management								
450				83-B	measures		1	General					
								Salmon-need more					
								protection; General	-				
					Water quality standards in coastal watersheds fail to protect Oregon's native fishes	s		fails to meet					
451				83-C	including; Coho and Chinook salmon, Cutthroat, Summer and Winter Steelhead.		1 WQS	WQS/uses					
					DEQ is not protecting our waters sufficiently to ensure our fish are free from toxic	;							
					contamination, and that our rivers are not protected enough so we can swim in all		Toxics affecting	Salmon-need more					
452				83-D	of our watersheds		1 fish	protection					
					ODF and ODA's pesticide use programs fail to control polluted runoff from			Ag-pesticides; Ag-					
453				83-E	logging, in Type N streams, and cattle operations.		1	buffers; Ag-general					
454					Riparian buffers are insufficient to protect water quality.		1	Ag-buffers					
								Ag-general; general	-				
								need to improve					
455				83-G	SB1010s are inadequate to protect water quality or improve habitat conditions.		1	water quality					

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G H	I	J	K	L	М	N
								forestry-riparian;					
						The logging of unstable slopes and Type N stream created polluted runoff and the		forestry-landslides;					
456					83-H	existing logging road network is also source of sediment.	1	forestry-roads					
		_						OSDS; forestry-					
457					83-I	Older septic systems create NPS.	1	general					
		_						General-voluntary					
								approaches; General-					
						Voluntary efforts to protect water quality and habitat have been dwarfed by the		need to improve					
458					83-J	lack of rules to protect water quality.	2	water quality					
130					00.0	No rules in place to protect ecological function and processes on industrial timber	_	Forestry-general; Ag-					
150					83-K	or agricultural lands	2	general					
433		_			03-10	of agricultural failes	2	Forestry-buffers; Ag-					
								buffers; General-					
						Do not believe that Oregon has in place a program to adequately protect riparian		, ,					
						zones that are critical to maintaining cold clean water essential to the recovery and		water quality; Salmon-need more					
460					83-L	The state of the s	2						
460					83-L	health of our native aquatic species	<u> </u>	protection					
								General-need to					
						Watershed council completed a herbicide monitoring program found runoff from		improve water					
						all sources of applications – road side use, and agricultural and forestry operation.		quality; forestry-					
		Audubon Society	organizatio		00.15	While they may have applied it correctly there was still run-off and the rules were		pesticides; ag-					
461		of Portland	n	3/19/14	83-M		2	pesticides					
						OAN worked to develop AWQMA and plans and believes ODA/DEQ are							
						coordinating well to ensure continued integrity of the AWQMP and the resultant							
						Area Plans which provide the state with the tools and an inherent adaptive							
462					84-A	approach to properly address non-point source pollution.	2 SB1010 works	s Ag-general		1			
						Believes the state has 1) programs in place to meet ag conditions, and 2) ensures							
463					84-B	wqs/uses are being met.	3	Ag-general					
						25% of CNP is ag land, but less than 1% is in use other than pasture or hay.							
						Therefore, there is little opportunity for soil disturbance or nutrient loading from							
464					84-C	traditional row crop fertilizers.	3	Ag-general					
						Under the AWQMP, ODA implements site-specific and site-capable controls to							
						both resolve existing sources and prevent future opportunities for pollution. Such							
465					84-D	an approach is reflected in the Area Plans today	3	Ag-general					
							CZARA appro	oval					
							relies not on						
							specific measu	ures,					
						The focus of CZARA is not the use of specific measures identified in the 6217(g)	but design and						
						guidance, but rather the design and implementation of appropriate measures –	implementation						
						regardless of form - that can be developed and applied to ultimately achieve	appropriate	General-problems					
466					84-E	measurable beneficial results.	3 measures	with CZARA					
.00		1				Congress specifically required that such measures could only be implemented so		General-problems					
467					84-F	long as they are "economically achievable."	4 CZARA guida	-					
107		1	1		911	iong as mej are committed action and	· CLI II II I guilde	77 1011 CENTINI		1			

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N
				_	· ·			<u> </u>	,		-		
					NOAA/EPA didn't provide any proof for allegation that water quality impairments	3							
					from ag are "widespread"only pointed to NMFS recent listings for Coho salmon								
					and draft recovery plans but neither of these documents appear to support such a								
					conclusion and certainly not one which would characterize agricultural activities								
					as presenting concerns of "widespread" impairment. NMFS reports do not specify			Ag-general; General-					
468				84-G	specific land use as a culprit for need for rip. buffers.	4	L	salmon;					
					Does not agree with allegation that AWQMA enforcement is weak. Notes that								
					AWQMPs lay out porcess for which enforcement actions are taken. Any reduction	1							
					or withdraw of Section 319 funds will only serve to diminish ODA's abilities to								
469				84-H	take enforcement action, not increase them	5	ag enforcement	Ag- general					
					Refutes claim that AWQMPs are too vague and do not include specific BMP	5							
					requirements. Neither CZARA nor the 6217(g) guidance prescribes the	and							
470				84-I	AWQMP's adoption of specific management measures.	6	AWQMPs	Ag-general					
					Disagrees with allegation that AWQMP are only focused on impaired areas.								
					Actions and WQS developed for impairments can be the goalpost for restoration			Ag-general; general-					
471				84-J	and protection.	6	5	water quality					
					Disagrees with allegation that AWQMPs are not addressing legacy issues. Nothing	3							
					within CZARA indicates Congress ever intended that the States consider "legacy"								
					issues nor is there any requirement to address such issues under the 6217(g)								
472				84-K	guidance	6	Legacy	Ag-general					
					We believe that the continued successful implementation of the program must rely	7							
					on local management experiences, both currently and in the future, which will								
					inform how to craft the most appropriate regulatory standards. This process of								
	Oregon Assoc. of	organizatio			creating ever improving standards of course will come from the existing adaptive								
473	Nurseries	n	3/20/14	84-L	management, outcome-based approach within each of the Area Plans.	7	Adaptive mgmt	General					
474				85-A	Support disapproval	1		Decision			1		
								General - fails to					
475				85-B	Concerne with water quality, toxics, deforestation and fisheries health	1	-	meet wqs/uses					
				05.7	FPA, Right to Forest and Pesticide Pre-emption laws have led to water quality			Forestry- General;					
476				85-C	impairments/poisoning in Rogue/Umpqua.	1	-	Forestry pesticides					
					Coastal watersheds are impaired due to state govn't corruption and control by								
					forest and chemical industry. Cites 2 examples of how EPA has gotten involved								
				05.5	with two problems in OR (OR Health Authority's Hwy 36 investigation and Curry	_		P					
477	(b) (6)	•,•	0/00/11	85-D	County airial spraying poisoning)	2		Forestry - pesticides					
478		citizen	3/20/14	85-E	Supports Beyond Toxics Comments.			Forestry - pesticides					
					Program guidance mirrors the statute in requiring theat states demonstrate the use			C 1					
				55 D	of additional management measures when needed to meet water quality standards	_	,	General need to					
479		organization	1	57-B	and protect beneficial uses.	1		consider other issues	tor		1		
								Camanal and 14					
				57.0	The Federal Agencies expect the implementation of both the management			General need to					
480				57-C	measures and additional management measures in a reasonable period of time.	1	<u> </u>	consider other issues]				

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N
	-		_	-				-			-		
							including excerpts						
							from January 13,						
							1998, EPA and						
							NOAA, Findings						
							for the Oregon						
							Coastal Nonpoint						
							Program.						
							Excerpts from						
							2004 interim						
							decision document						
							and 2008 response						
							to Oregon's						
							2007documents.						
							Citation of	Et C1					
					Oregon has repeatedly submitted a coastal nonpoint program that EPA and NOAA		September 20, 2006 email to	Forestry General;					
					have repeatedly refused to approve, in large part because it did not include		Robert	Forestry riparian; Forestry					
					adequate regulation of forest practices in the form of additional management			landslides; Forestry -					
481				57-D	measures.	(Amanda Punton.	roads					
401				37 D	Fully agrees with EPA and NOAA findings that Oregon has failed to develop and		7 mana i anton.	10445					
					implement additional management measures for foresry and so has failed to								
482					submit an approvable program under CZARA.	12	2	Forestry General					
							OR Forest	•					
							Practices Act,						
					Oregon's voluntary and regulatory forest practices programs do not sufficiently		Revised Statutes						
483				57-F	protect water quality or designated beneficial uses.	12	§527.610	Forestry General					
								General water					
								quality; Monitoring -	_				
								improvements					
					Oregon's forest practices program improperly equates compliance with forest			needed; Forestry					
484			_	57-G	practices regulations with compliance with water quality standards.	13	ORS §527.770	General					
							Comparisons to						
							State of	General water					
405				57 11	ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices	10	Washington esp.	quality; Forestry					
485			-	57-H	in order to bring compliance with water quality standards	13	HCP's	General					
							Declaration of						
							Christopher A. Frissell, Ph.D.	Forestry General;					
							submitted in	Forestry riparian;					
							support of letter	Forestry					
					Failure to protect water quality from impacts due to roads, buffers, and logging on		and incorporated	landslides; Forestry -]				
486				57-I	steep/unstable slopes	15	by reference	roads					
			1		Effectiveness of the overall system of riparian management zones in maintaining			General fails to					
					sufficiently low turbidity is diminished at a watershed scale due to inadequate			meet wqs/uses;					
487				57-J	buffers in headwater basins.	17	7	Forestry riparian					
-					I	<u> </u>	1	7 -F	l				

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	К	L	М	N
488					57-K	Clearcutting riparian areas around streams increases the probability of debris flows and sediment delivery to streams due to the accumulation of debris.	18	Forestry riparian; Forestry clear cuts		20	49	15	84
489					57-L	Riparian buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently prevent the warming of streams that accompanies loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining through riparian buffers, and do not protect streams from debris flows and landslides.	20) Forestry riparian					
100					57 M	The science is overwhelming: Oregon's riparian buffer and steep slope loggigng	20	General fails to meet wqs/uses; Forestry riparian;					
490					57-M	The construction, use, maintenance, and existence of logging roads detrimentally affects stream health and aquatic habitat by increasing sediment delivery and	20						
491					57-N	oregon's forest practices rules impose generic BMPs and do not use pertinent water quality data to drive road management decisions; in fact they are precisely	20	General water					
492				_	57-O	the kinds of BMPs that have been shown to be inadequate and ineffective at protecting water quality and beneficial uses.	22	quality; Forestry roads Oregon's rules do					
						Oregon forest practices regulations applicable to forest roads consistently		not require ODF to disapprove written plans for the construction of logging roads that may result in adverse water General water quality; Forestry					
493					57-P	prioritize logging over protection of water quality.	23	quality impacts. roads "minimizing risk"					
494					57-O	Oregon's road location rule does not require operators to eliminate or avoid water quality problems; rather, it simply requires them to minimize risk. EPA and NOAA cannot approve Oregon's CNPCP component for forest roads simply based on rules that require operators to minimize the risk to waters of the state.	23- 24	is not the same as avoiding adverse water quality impacts General water quality; Forestry roads					

Δ	R	· ·	D F	F	G	Н І	1 1	К	1	м	N
A	D	C		r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	G		J	N.	L	IVI	IN
495			57-R	EPA and NOAA cannot rely on Oregon's enforcement authority where enforcement most likely only occurs <i>after</i> damage to water quality occurs. OAR	24	"avoid locating roads on steep slopes, slide areas, high landslide hazard locations where viable atlernatives exist" and "make use of existing roads where practical." Who decides what is practical or vialbele and what criteria are used in the analysis? Forestry landslides; Forestry roads					
				629-625 rules generally mean that so long as operators are not harming wter							
496			57-S	quality they are in complance with the rule.	24	Forestry General					
497			57-T	Oregon's wet weather road use rule's purpose is "to reduce the delivery of ifine sediment to streams caused by the use of forest roads during wet periods that may adversely affect downstream water quaility in Type F or Type D streams," is designed to reduce delivery of fine sediment, but not esigned to elimate the elivery of fine sediment or to ensure that such delivery does not impair water quality. Oregon road rules lack a requirement to bring existing, inactive logging roads and		5 OAR-625-0700 Forestry roads					
498			57-U	other forest roads up to a standard that effectively prevents water quality problems. This resultes in many forest roads which are not currently being used for logging falling through the regulatory cracks and continuing to have a negative impact on	26	6 Forestry roads					
499			57-V	Implementation of BMPs without reference to and monitoring of applicable water quality standards including the protection of designated beneficial uses is simply inadequate to protect Oregon streams.	27	General water quality; Monitoring - improvements needed; Forestry General					
500			57-W	Despite EPA's and NOAA's telling Oregon for over a decade that its forest practices programs are not sufficiently protecting water quality, and despite ample and relevant science demonstrating that clear-cutting and other logging practices in Oregon generate nonpoint source pollution that harms water quality, Oregon substantially increased the amount of clear-cutting allowed in North Coast state forests.	28	Current FMP goals allow clear-cutting of roughly an additional 100,000 acres above the goal in the Forestry General; Forestry clear cuts					

	Δ	R	(П	Г		G	Н	ī	, ,	K I	1 1	M	N
		U U	C	D		EPA and NOAA state that legacy efficits of agriculture (denuded riparian areas,	- 5	11	1	,	K	L	141	14
						damage to natural stream morphology, eroding streambanks, etc) are not								
						addressed though existing regulatory tools, but have concluded tht agriculture								
						plans are a regulatory mechanism to address past actions that are the primary		A	.g legacy; Ag					
501					57-X	cause of eroding streambanks.	34		EP& M's					
				-		ODA's enforcement authority excludes most of Oregon's agricultural nonpoint								
						source contributions, particularly its contribution to temperature in Oregon's		A	g -General; Ag					
502					57-Y	streams from lack of shade and from exces sedimentation.	35		EP&M's					
						Oregon has repeatedly relied on the TMDL program to purportedly demonstrate to								
						the federal agencies that it has a plan in place to control nonpoint source pollution								
						in coastal watersheds. EPA cannot rely on these assertions given Oregon's own			General fails to					
						failure to use the TMDL program to bring nonpoint sources into compliance with		me	eet wqs/uses; Ag					
503					57-Z	load allocations established in the TMDLs.	36		General					
						DEQ has issued NPDES permits in the Rogue River Basin on the assumption that					T			7
						nonpoint sources will contribute zero heat load, but made a completely contrary								
						assumption when it allwoed the City of Medford to plant trees on agricultural								
						lands in lieu of directly reducing the thermal load in its discharge. This contrary								
						assumption undermines any suggestion that Oregon relies on the load allocations			General fails to					
						established for nonpoint sources in its temperature TMDLs to protect riparian		me	eet wqs/uses; Ag					
504					57-AA	vegation sufficient to meet water quality standards.	37	'	General					
						Approvable state programs are required to assess over time the success of the		ODA findings for						
						management measures in reducing pollution loads and improving water quality.		coastal watersheds						
						Because it has not identified the practices that constitute Oregon's version of		(Coos/Coquille,						
						meeting management measures, it would be impossible for the state to ascertain		MidCoast, North						
						whether the managment meaures are in place and whether they have been		1 '	General need to					
						successful in reducing pollutant loads sufficiently to avoid the need for additional			consider other					
505					57-BB	managment measures.	37	Umpqua) iss	ues; Ag General					
						Oregon water quality standards and designated uses require the implementation of			General fails to					
						additional management measures. Given that in almost all instances, an allocation			meet wqs/uses;					
						to all nonpoint sources for temperature increases is zero, it is even more likely that			General need to					
						agricuture is currently contributing to violations of temperature standards and	2.0		consider other					
506				_	57-CC	therefore requires additional managment measures.	39	iss	sues; Ag - General					
						EPA and NOAA found that the last of the agricultural plans was put in place by								
						ODA in October 2007. The fact that the plans and rules have been in place for			a 1 2 1					
						such a long time should suggest that Oregon can point to their widespread success	40		General fails to					
						in addressing the conditions on agricultural lands that have caused and contributed	40-	me	eet wqs/uses; Ag					
507					57-DD	to violations of water quality standards. In fact, they cannot.	41		General					

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
							ODA's Water						
							Quality						
							Management						
							Program's						
							guidance						
							documents:						
							"Streamside						
							Vegetation						
							Assessment Tool"						
							and "Proposed						
							Tools for						
							Measuring						
							Progress in Small						
							Watersheds:						
					ODA's most recent new efforts to address agricultural water quality are inadquate			General fails to					
					to meet CZARA management measures and additional management measures that		Vegetation	meet wqs/uses;					
					are needed. None of the ODA basin rules incorporates additional management			General need to					
					measures as needed to meet the zero load allocations established in the existing		Compliance	consider other					
508				57-EE	temperature TMDLs for Oregon coastal watersheds.	41	Evaluation." is	sues; Ag - General					
					Bear Creek cannot be held up as an example of how Oregon has a program to								
					control agricultural nonpoint source pollution because it is primarily an example			General - voluntary					
					of how unique circumstances can pressure nonpoint sources into taking significant			approaches; Ag					
509				57-FF	action. Absent those circumstances, the actions will not occur.	46	5	General					
					,			General fails to					
								meet wqs/uses;					
							-	Toxics/Pesticides;					
					Oregon's management measures for pesticides are not adequate to meet water			Forestry					
					quality sandards including full support of desingated uses in Oregon and			pesticides; Ag					
510				57-GG	additional management measures are required.	47	-	Pesticides Pesticides					
510			_		Despite the lack of any additional ODA rules beyond the EPA pesticide labels,	7,		1 esticides					
					which have been demonstrated to be inadequate for protection of threatened coho,								
					EPA and NOAA have not made any findings on the adequacy of Oregon's			Γoxics/Pesticides:					
					program to protect water quality and designated uses from pesticides applied to			almon need more					
 E11				57 LILI	agricultural lands.	49		protection					
511	-		-	3/-ПП	agricultural failus.	49		protection					
					The federal econolies musics One and Western Orealists Devict 1, M		source: State of						
					The federal agencies praise Oregon's Water Quality Pesticide Management Plan,		Oregon, Pesticide	Maria					
					which purportedly uses water monitoring data to drive so-called adaptive		Management Plan	Monitoring					
					management actions, but the state does little monitoring of pesticides with which		for Water Quality	improvements					
					to make this work and there is no evidence it collects any data in coastal		Protection (May	needed:					
512				57-II	watersheds.		20-11)	Toxics/Pesticides					
					Oregon ignores many of its standards and data when it develops its 303d lists with			Camanal (
					the effect that data are not translated into impaired waters listings with any	40		General water					
513			-	57-JJ	regularity.	49		quality					
					Oregon's CNPCP fails to identify land uses and critical coastal areas that will			General water					
					require additional management measures to attain and maintain water quality		· ·	quality; General					
					standards because it relies on a flawed Clean Water Act section 303d listing			need to consider					
514				57-KK	process to identify impaired streams.	50	CZARA Program (other issues					

Λ.	D	T 6	D	Е	r		П	T	ı	ν		N.4	NI NI
A	В		U	t	EPA and NOAA guidance urges states to rely on their 303d list for purposes of	G	Н	1	J	K	L	М	IN
					CZARA, but the problem with doing so in Oregon is that the DEQ has, for many			General water					
					years, failed to meet the requirements set out in federal regulations to "assemble			quality; General					
					and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality related data and			need to consider					
515			_	57-LL	information to develop the list."	52		other issues					
								General water					
								quality; General					
					DEQ does not use its nonpoint source assessments to develop its 303d lists,			need to consider					
516			5	57-MM	contrary to EPA listing guidance and EPA/NOAA CZARA guidance.	52		other issues					
								General fails to					
					Oregon fails to identify land uses causing or threatening water quality			meet wqs/uses;					
					impairments by ignoring a wide variety of technical information available to		E.g., ESA-listed	General Salmon;					
					identify land uses that consistently cause or contribute to violations of water		coho and their	General need to					
517				57-NN	quality standards in coastal watersheds and harm designated uses.		habitat.	consider other issues					
31/	+			J / -1 \1\	quarty standards in coastar watershous and narm designated uses.	33	naonat.	consider outer issues					
					Oragon does not use TMDI a to identify critical acceptal areas as required for			General need to					
			,	57.00	Oregon does not use TMDLs to identify critical coastal areas as required for	50		consider other issues					
518				37-00	approval programs under CZARA.	58		consider other issues					
								General fails to					
								meet wqs/uses;					
					Oregon's TMDL program changes numeric criteria for temperature bypassing			General salmon;					
					section 303c federal approval and producing criteria in excess of safe levels for			General need to					
519				57-PP	cold-water species.	59		consider other issues					
								General fails to					
					Oregon's TMDL program fails to result in changes to nonpoint source controls			meet wqs/uses;					
					sufficient to meet load allocations established in TMDLs and necessary to meet			General need to					
520			4	57-00	water quality standards.	61	40 CFR 8 130 2 (i)	consider other issues					
320			 	31 QQ	water quarty standards.	01	40 CI K § 130.2 (1)	consider other issues					
								General fails to					
					Most Orogan agestal watershed TMDI a actablish load allegations for non-int								
					Most Oregon coastal watershed TMDLs establish load allocations for nonpoint			meet wqs/uses;					
				57 DD	sources but their associated water quality management plans fail to support an	(2)		General need to					
521	-		;	5/-KK	effective coastal nonpoint source pollution control program	62		consider other issues					
								General fails to					
								meet wqs/uses;					
					Despite nearly all of the TMDLs for temperature in Oregon's coastal watersheds'			General need to					
					having established a load allocation of zero heat increase for nonpoint sources, the			consider other					
					load allocations have not been used to determine minimum riparian buffer width,			issues; Forestry					
522				57-SS	height, and density to achieve the load allocations.	69		riparian					
					Oregon TMDLs fail to evaluate whether CZARA management measures are			General fails to					
					sufficient to meet load allocations for nonpoint sources and fail to establish			meet wqs/uses;					
					additional management measures needed to meet load allocations for nonpoint			General need to					
522				57-TT		70		consider other issues					
323				J/-11	sources.	//	1	consider other issues					

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	H I	J	K	L	М	N
								"Methodology for					
								Oregon's 2012					
								Water Quality					
								Report and List of					
								Water Quality General fails to					
								Limited meet wqs/uses;					
						Oregon fails to systematically address violations of water quality standards caused		Waters."Oregon General need to					
52	24				57-UU			DEQ consider other issues					
								General fails to					
								meet wqs/uses;					
						The current status of listed aquatic species in Oregon, and Oregon's failure to		General Salmon;					
						make a dent in recovery efforts for those species, demonstrate that Oregon's water		General need to					
52	25				57-VV	quality protection programs are inadequate and not meeting CZARA standards.	81						
						EPA and NOAA have violated the law by failing to withhold CWA and CZMA							
						grant money from Oregon since 1998. EPA's and NOAA's "conditional approval"							
52	26				57-WW	of Oregon's CNPCP contravenes CZARA and cannot be maintained.	81	16 USCA § 1455 b General					
32	.0				37 11 11	EPA and NOAA have violated the law by failing to withhold CWA and CZMA	01	To obert § 1433 b					
						grant money from Oregon since 1998. EPA's and NOAA's "conditional approval"							
	77	NWEA		3/20/14	57 WW	of Oregon's CNPCP contravenes CZARA and cannot be maintained.	Q 1	16 USCA § 1455 b c					
52	- /	INWEA		3/20/14	37-WW	of Oregon's Civi Ci Contravenes CZAKA and Cannot be maintained.	01	10 USCA § 1433 0 C					

	A B	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
529				0-A	Does not support disapproval	1		
530				0-B	Oregon contends that it also has the programs, policies and regulatory authorities in place to conform with EPA and NOAA's direction to adopt additional management measures under Section 6217	10		General
531				0-C	The goals and vision of the Oregon BOF is to support a broad suite of BMPs to insure that forest operations are conducted in a manner that supports water quality standards. The FPA describes the relationship between the Board and the EQC giving oversight to the DEQ in carrying out the rules and statutes regarding implementation of the CWA. ORS 527.765 describes the relationship. The 2002 Sufficiency Analysis found that for small and medium fish streams, current stream buffer prescriptions may result in short-term temperature increases on some Type F streams; however the significance of the potential temperature increases at the watershed scale is uncertain. Follow-up monitoring (RipStream) showed that riparian protections on small and medium fish-bearing streams do not	11		Forestry - general
532				0-D	insure achievement of the PCW standard.	11		Forestry Riparian
533				0-D	Currently the BOF is conducting rule analysis for small and medium fish bearing streams in response to ODF's RipStream monitoring results. Small and medium fish bearing stream protection rule analysis and Board action taken to implement any resulting changes in BMPs is planned for completion by the end of the year. Stream temperature and shade one to five years post harvest; temperature effects	12		Forestry - Riparian
534				0-E	downstream of harvest; large wood recruitment; and riparian stand characteristics and functions analyses are a priority and ODF will work with the Board and the DEQ to establish timelines for completion.	12		
535				0-F	Under ORS 468B.110(2), ORS 527.765, and ORS 527.770, the Board of Forestry establishes best management practices or other control measures by rule that, to the maximum extent practicable, will ensure attainment and maintenance of water quality standards. If the Environmental Quality Commission does not believe that the FPA rules will accomplish this result, the EQC is authorized to petition the Board for more protective rules. If the EQC petitions the Board for review of BMPs, the Board has two options: terminate review with the EQC concurrence, or begin rulemaking. If the Board determines that BMPs should be reviewed, rules specifying the revised BMPs must be adopted not later than two years from the filing date of the petition for review, unless the Board, with concurrence of the EQC, finds that special circumstances require additional time. Upon the EQC's request, the Board is required to take interim action "to prevent significant damage to beneficial uses" while the BMPs are being reviewed. The "BMP shield" under ORS 527.770 is lost if the Board fails to complete BMP revisions, or makes a finding that revisions are not required, within the statutory	12		Forestry - General
536				0-G	deadline. In addition, under 468B.110(2), the EQC cannot adopt rules regulating nonpoint source discharges from forest operations and the DEQ cannot issue TMDL implementation plans or similar orders governing forest operations unless "required to do so by the CWA." This authority would also be triggered by the failure of the Board to adopt adequate BMPs to implement TMDL allocations for forestry or to avoid impairment of water quality such that standards are not met.	12		Forestry Legal

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
537					0-Н	As EPA and NOAA determined in 1998, Oregon's forestry program satisfies the CZARA forestry measures. The forestry program also includes provisions for revising or implementing additional forestry measures as needed to address water quality impairments. Oregon's forestry program, in concert with Oregon's policy and regulatory framework for protecting water quality relies on land use laws (Goal 4 – Forest Lands), an adaptive Forest Practices Act (FPA), and voluntary measures under the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds. This three-tiered approach results in forestland having the highest water quality in Oregon, and avoids the impairment that would be caused by land use changes (ex-urban sprawl) seen in other states.	12		Forestry Rules
538					0-I	Under existing State forest practices, medium, small, and non-fish bearing streams may be subject to loss of sediment retention capacity, increases in delivery of fine sediments, and increases in temperature due to loss of riparian vegetation. Another concern is provision of adequate long-term supplies of large woody debris in medium, small, and non-fish bearing streams, a shortage of which can result in decreased sediment storage in upstream tributaries, increased transport and deposition downstream, and overall adverse impacts to beneficial uses.	13		Forestry - Riparian
539					0-Ј	Oregon agrees that these are valid concerns, and the Board of Forestry is addressing them through the Forest Practices Act. The FPA requires the state to regulate forest practices to ensure water quality standards are achieved. (OAR 629-635-0100) This regulatory program includes provisions to identify inadequacies and revise regulations as needed to ensure water quality is protected. Oregon's efforts to address concerns on small and medium fish streams are described below.	14		Forestry Riparian
540					0-К	Changes to protections of Small and Medium Fish Streams since 1998 include 1. the use of physical habitat criteria to determine if streams may support fish use. (OAR 629-635-0200). Reclassifying streams with human-made barriers as fish-bearing upstream to the first natural barrier (OAR 629-635-0200). Voluntary measures for high aquatic potential (HAP) streams, including large wood placement, additional basal area in stream buffers, large tree retention and treating Large and Medium sized non-fish streams the same as fish streams for stream buffer retentions. (Report to Oregon Watershed Restoration Inventory).	14		Forestry Riparian
541					0-L	The Board is conducting rule analysis for small and medium fish bearing streams in response to ODF's RipStream monitoring results. Small and medium fish bearing stream protection rule analysis and Board action taken to implement any resulting changes in BMPs, is planned for completion by the end of this year. Stream temperature and shade one to five years post-harvest; temperature effects downstream of harvest; large wood recruitment; and riparian stand characteristics and functions analyses are a priority and ODF will work with the Board and the DEQ to establish timelines for completion.	14		Forest Riparian

	Α	В	C	D	E	F F	G	H	ī	\neg
542	•				0-M	Oregon has invested in three paired watershed studies that are testing hypotheses related to harvest effects at a watershed and reach scale as well as downstream. Results from the Hinkle Creek paired watershed study support the variable temperature response of non-fish bearing stream to harvests under forest practices standards. They also indicate that there was no measureable downstream effect on stream temperatures. The Trask paired watershed study received additional funding from the 2013 legislative session to continue vital research on small non-fish bearing streams. The results from these studies will complement other research on these highly variable headwater stream systems and allow Oregon to evaluate the current level of protection.	14		Forestry- Riparia	an
543					0-N	The paired watershed studies and other monitoring programs demonstrate the State's commitment to a continuous learning and adaptive management approach to forestry best management practices. The state will use this important research and other information to ensure a science-based analysis of the effectiveness of current measures on non-fish bearing streams.	15		Forestry - Riparia	an
544		DEQ and DLCD		3/20/14						
545										
546 547										_
548										
549										
550										
551										
551 552 553 554 555 556										
554										
555										
556										
557										
558 559										
560										_
561										
562										
563										
564 565										
566										
567										
568										
569										_
570 571										-
572										
573										
574										
575										
576 577										
578										
579										
580										
581										
582 583										
584										

	<u>, </u>			T				1	
	A B	C	D	E	F	G	Н		I
585 586									
586									
587									
588									
588 589									
590									
591									
592									
592 593									
594									
595									
596									
596									
597									
598									
599									
598 599 600 601 602 603									
601									
602									
603									
604									
605									
606									
607									
608									
609									
608 609 610 611 612 613									
611									
612									
613									
614									
615									
616									
010									
617									
618									
616 617 618 619 620 621									
620									
622									
623 624 625 626 627 628									
624									
625									
626									
627									
628									
629									
630									
631									
632									
632			+						
633 634									
054									